

EXPEDITING COA REVIEWS & DECISIONS

SURVEY # 12

Do you support code revisions to allow the DHPC to expedite Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) review in a special meeting?

YES - 20 Unsure - 10 No - 9

LIMITING FACTOR:

Open Meeting Act -
(OMA)

DHPC Regular Meeting Agenda, 24 hour min.,
RCW 42.30.077

Special Meeting Notice -24 hr. min. to Webpage*

Local newspapers, & City Hall, RCW 42.30.080

*Notice placement on webpage less than 6 days requires new city procedures.

LIBERALIZING FACTOR:

Project Review Exclusion - Landmark designations &

RCW 36.70B.140

Administrative or Quasi-judicial, different
review process allowed.

NOT subject to RCW 36.70B.060 through 36.70B.090 and
36.70B.110 through 36.70B.130.

CONCEPTS for CHANGE:

- ☐ How often should the DHPC meet?
- ☐ What is the minimum meeting notice time period would you support? (See OMA limiting factors above).
- ☐ Should the minimum number of DHPC members for a quorum be reduced from four (4) to (3)?

Survey # 13

Do you think the City Planner should be allowed to approve Certificates of Appropriateness on behalf of the Dayton Historic Preservation Commission?

YES - 10 Unsure - 11 **No - 17**

*Planning Director COAs decisions are
"Administrative Decisions", as authorized by the
DHPC.*

- ☐ Should there be a written DHPC Rule to only allow administrative decisions in very limited cases?

SURVEY # 20.

Adoption of local historic district
design guidelines would be beneficial.

YES 24 **UNSURE 6** **NO 10**

Additional Info.

DHPC Rules C- Section H 2. Meetings Agenda:

Special meetings - three (3) [days notice](#)
Regularly meetings - five (5) [days notice](#)

Option - Revise to OMA

DHPC Rules - Section H 4. Meetings Notice - revised date/time

Regularly meetings - ten (10) [days notice](#)

Option - Revise to OMA

DHPC Rules - Quorum – 4 members present

Option - Revise to allow quorum by phone.