

**EXPEDITING COA  
REVIEWS & DECISIONS**

**SURVEY # 12**

Do you support code revisions to allow the DHPC to expedite Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) review in a special meeting?

**YES - 20**    Unsure - 10    No - 9

**LIMITING FACTOR:**

**Open Meeting Act - (OMA)**    DHPC Regular Meeting Agenda, 24 hour min., RCW 42.30.077  
**Special Meeting Notice -24 hr. min. to Webpage\***  
**Local newspapers, & City Hall, RCW 42.30.080**  
\* Notice placement on webpage less than 6 days requires new city procedures.

**LIBERALIZING FACTOR:**

**Project Review Exclusion - Landmark designations & Administrative or Quasi-judicial, different review process allowed.**  
RCW 36.70B.140    NOT subject to RCW 36.70B.060 through 36.70B.090 and 36.70B.110 through 36.70B.130.

**CONCEPTS for CHANGE:**

- How often should the DHPC meet?
- What is the minimum meeting notice time period would you support? (See OMA limiting factors above).
- Should the minimum number of DHPC members for a quorum be reduced from four (4) to (3)?

**Survey # 13**

Do you think the City Planner should be allowed to approve Certificates of Appropriateness on behalf of the Dayton Historic Preservation Commission?

YES - 10    Unsure - 11    **No - 17**

*Planning Director COAs decisions are "Administrative Decisions", as authorized by the DHPC.*

- Should there be a written DHPC Rule to only allow administrative decisions in very limited cases?

**SURVEY # 20.**

**Adoption of local historic district design guidelines would be beneficial.**

**YES 24    UNSURE 6    NO 10**

**Additional Info.**

- DHPC Rules C- Section H 2. Meetings Agenda:  
Special meetings - three (3) days notice  
 Regularly meetings - five (5) days notice  
*Option - Revise to OMA*
- DHPC Rules - Section H 4. Meetings Notice - revised date/time  
Regularly meetings - ten (10) days notice  
*Option - Revise to OMA*
- DHPC Rules - Quorum – 4 members present  
*Option - Revise to allow quorum by phone.*