

Engineer Statements of Qualifications Evaluation  
Raymond / South Bend, WA Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant

Criterion	Submitter Name, Score and Comments (Note 1)				
	Alkai Consultants	Gibbs & Olson	HCWL (Note 2)	CH2MHill	Gray & Osborne
Qualifications of Key Personnel	1 – only one engineer with WWTP experience	3 – team worked together on previous projects in area. No structural or electrical engineering capability given	5 – Complete team identified, including electrical, structural, geotechnical. Good support for HDD (Note 3).	5 – Well qualified personnel.	5 – Well qualified personnel.
Personnel Available for Timely Completion of Design Work	0 – resumes submitted but specific assignments and availability not given	4 – Availability stated	5 – Availability stated, with level-of-effort shown for team firms.	4 – Availability of staff is listed by percentage of time available. Availability of WWTP design manager is limited (25%)	4 – Availability stated
Relevant Experience as Demonstrated on Previous Projects	1 – projects listed, but no municipal WWTPs listed and consultant role not identified	4 – Several recent WWTPs in project area	3 – One WWTP project of similar size (Olympus Terrace). Other WWTPs are much smaller	4 – Well qualified firm with many projects. Most projects are much larger than ours.	5 – Well qualified firm with many projects, most in our size range.
Previous Performance	0 – not given	4 – good references from Chehalis personnel	4 – References cited, should be contacted for follow-up.	5 – References cited, should be contacted for follow-up.	5 – References cited. Project staff known to RWCC.
Previous Experience in Project Area	1 – some clients somewhat local (Kitsap, Mason Counties) but role on projects not given	4 – Chehalis, Elma, Tenino and Winlock. South Bend (I&I project) not listed	3 – Mason County (Shelton). Most other projects not in project area	4 – Centralia, Grays Harbor County	5 – Over 10 years experience in local area, with specific project involvement. Excellent project knowledge
Expressed	1 – email	2 – Letter of	5 – Letter of	3 – Letter of	5 - Letter of

Criterion	Submitter Name, Score and Comments (Note 1)				
	Alkai Consultants	Gibbs & Olson	HCWL (Note 2)	CH2MHill	Gray & Osborne
Interest in Project	only, no specific project approach or information	interest, but no discussion of project-specific approach	interest, with detailed section describing project approach. Interesting comments on separate river crossings and foundation issues with new / upgraded pump station in Raymond.	interest, with some discussion of project-specific issues but not much detail.	interest, with detailed section describing project approach.
WA State Certified Minority Enterprise Participation	0 – None noted in SOQ	0 – None noted in SOQ	2 – Beyaz & Patel (structural sub) is MBE	4 – Cascade Design Professionals and Enviroissues listed	5 – Cosmopolitan Engineering for outfall, river crossing and PS 11 modifications
TOTAL SCORE	4	21	27	29	34

Notes:

1. Under each submitter, first the relative score is shown (5 = excellent; 0 = none), followed by any reviewer comments.
2. HCWL = Hammond Collier Wade Livingston
3. HDD = Horizontal Directional Drilling