

Dayton – Historic District Property Owner Survey – Results

Please answer all questions.	Yes	No	Unsure
<p>1. Are you the property owner of a property in the Washington Street Historic District or South Side Historic District? If no, please explain</p> <p>Comment: (211) no, I had formally requested to have my property removed at least 3 times as allowed in C.O.A. document. (157) Upted Out</p>	38	1	1
<p>2. Were you a Washington Street and/or South Side Local Register Historic District homeowner on August 13, 1986 when the <u>National</u> Register Historic Districts were formed?</p> <p>Comments: (117) who cares if it was National (168) My mother & father owned the house at that time</p>	15	25	
<p>3. Were you a homeowner when your <u>Local</u> Register Historic District was formed?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Washington Street Local Register Historic District 1/26/2009, and/or • South Side Local Register Historic District 8/10/2009 <p>Comment: (177) poor balloting</p>	28	11	1
<p>4. Property owners may apply for Special Valuation Tax Incentives in their Local Register Historic District. Have you received Special Valuation Tax Incentives?</p> <p>Note - 1 blank Comment: (177) only if you spend large amounts of money on remodel</p>	4	32	3
<p>5. Do you plan to take advantage of Local Register Historic District Special Valuation tax incentives in the future?</p> <p>Note - one marked both no and not sure</p>	7	20	12
<p>6. Do you agree that tourism of the Local Register Historic Districts helps to support Dayton's local economy?</p> <p>Note - 1 marked all three Comment: (211) Infinitesimal amount at best (177) Very few visitors care about local historic districts</p>	22	10	7
<p>7. Have you read Dayton Municipal Code, Historic Preservation Chapter, DMC 5-18?</p> <p>Note - 1 marked no and not sure, & 1 marked yes and no Comments: (180) long time ago (211) yes, I know it inside and out</p>	14	21	3

<p>8. Should the Historic Preservation Chapter DMC 5-18 be revised? If yes, please explain how.</p> <p>Note - 4 did not mark any answer</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p>(120) 5-18-20 D2. Request for COA should only be for work requiring permit. C Property should be removed from register by owner request 5-18-24 C1 City permits should not be withheld based on Preservation Commission recommendations of C.O.A. Property owners inaccurate for #7 listing of 300 S. 1st St. Tony and Laura Aukerman</p> <p>(211) The C.O.A attachment must be restored to its original language & intent before it was changed May "14 without knowledge of homeowners. OPT-OUT MUCH BE RETURNED!</p> <p>(160) ownerships have changed. Am concerned about houses that are not being maintained for safety as well as appearance</p> <p>(179) I have not read the entire code but a lot of people in Dayton cannot afford to comply & that needs to be addressed</p> <p>(184) Can't answer w/o reading DMC 5-18. Won't make decisions based on misinformation or ignorance</p> <p>(177) opt out clause should be added</p> <p>(149) It should not be so strict as to control all decisions. I thought when we first were place in the South district it seemed fair (black) Should include an "opt out" clause for both districts – property rights should take precedence</p> <p>(159) outstanding issue regarding the vote of home owners on several issues need to be answered before adopting the new chapter</p> <p>(128) 5-18.24 A 2nd to last sentence confusing 5-18.39 Property owners not up to date 5-18.40 need to say something about WA Street Dist.</p>	8	6	22
<p>9. Should the role of the Dayton Historic Preservation Commission be revised? If yes, please explain how.</p> <p>Note - one blank</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p>(120) As recommendations only</p> <p>(126) Guidance only</p> <p>(211) The DHPC must return to its original role of being there as a steering committee not an enforcement. This is ridiculous to think the committee can tell people what they can/can't do in the repairs of their own home</p> <p>(179) I would like to see the commission be an advisory group</p> <p>(156) Yes I think a couple of cictens-home owners of historic homes should be on the board and help make the decisions-espeslicy low income that have historic home</p> <p>(184)St or Fed Grant monies should never take precedence over home owners rights of ownership</p> <p>(173) disbanded</p> <p>(151) to "properties" that want it "owners"</p> <p>(149) Documents usually cn improve over time as it is tested in real life awhile</p> <p>(NO#) Reduce commissions authority over district property-council should prevail</p> <p>(159) Advisory to Planning Commission. There is currently no recourse by applicants to COA's suggested by commission. They aren't supervised or elected</p> <p>(128) I think it should advise and give guidance re. building, restoration, etc. Not be heavy handed or give that perception</p>	14	7	18

<p>10. Should the role of the Dayton Historic Preservation Commission be non-regulatory, guidance only for Certificates of Appropriateness?</p> <p>Note - 2 blank</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p>(185) Don't know enough about procedures to answer</p> <p>(177) Should be disbanded</p>	23	7	8
<p>11. Should compliance with a Certificates of Appropriateness decision be required?</p> <p>Note - 1 marked both no and not sure</p> <p>3 blank</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p>(211) Only if the homeowner wishes to be recognized on the Historic Register</p> <p>(188) Don't know enough about these procedures to answer</p> <p>(180) Sometimes yes</p> <p>(149) you then have only 1 or 2 persons who can/well issue certs</p>	13	13	10
<p>12. Do you support code revisions to allow the Dayton Historic Preservation Commission to expedite Certificate of Appropriateness review in a special meeting?</p> <p>Note - 1 blank</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p>(188) don't know enough about these procedures to answer</p> <p>(180) only if everyone in historical district is notified in advance</p> <p>(177) Should not be allowed at all</p>	20	9	10
<p>13. Do you think the City Planner should be allowed to approve Certificates of Appropriateness on behalf of the Dayton Historic Preservation Commission?</p> <p>Note - 2 blank</p> <p>Comments: (188) Don't know enough about these procedures to answer</p> <p>(179) NO!NO!NO!</p> <p>(177) Should not be allowed</p> <p>(149) Probably yes but not exclusively on harder cases where there is disagreements</p>	10	17	11

Please answer whether you agree with the following statements.

<p>14. The Local Register Historic District assures the historic character of my neighborhood survives.</p> <p>Comments: (179) Not sure because most people can't afford to comply</p>	24	11	5
<p>15. The Dayton Historic Preservation Commission makes well-reasoned Certificate of Appropriateness decisions.</p> <p>Comment: (144) Have had little contact for such</p>	15	8	17



Extreme designed homes as above would not be granted a Certificate of Appropriateness by the Dayton Historic Preservation Commission. On appeal, the City Council may overrule the Commission.

<p>16. Property owners within an historic District should be allowed to build a new home or addition similar to any of the three above examples.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p>(144) it defeats the purpose of Historical Districts unless it is an empty lot, it would involve destruction of existing structure. Are all homes in the District registered?</p> <p>(184) While the above examples are problematic, your definition of similar could very well eliminate any other structure that may be beneficial.</p>	10	28	2
<p>17. Homeowner property rights are more important than retaining the historic buildings of the District.</p> <p>Note - 1 blank</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p>(127) Hell No</p> <p>(184) Just because a building is old doesn't mean its valuable. A homeowner should never be compelled to pour more money into a property than its worth, especially if past construction practices were shoddy.</p> <p>(177) 100% right</p>	23	7	9
<p>18. It is beneficial to have my home in the local historic district.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p>(211) Problems with being in the District far outway the good</p> <p>(127) Hell yes</p>	17	13	10
<p>19. New construction should be compatible with the historic architecture that currently exists in the Local Register Historic District.</p> <p>Comments: (144) I would like that. Don't know how possible it is.</p>	26	9	5
<p>20. Adoption of local historic district design guidelines would be beneficial.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p>(144) Such guidelines should be very public</p> <p>(184) Who has final say in adoption process? Homeowners?</p> <p>(149) Clarify! Preapproval many designs automatic almost if follows agreed guide</p> <p>(159) not rules</p>	24	10	6

<p>21. The Dayton Historic Preservation Commission is a resource for homeowners planning preservation and maintenance projects.</p> <p>Note - 1 marked both yes and no</p> <p>Comments</p> <p>(144) Have not used that, but have been told its available</p> <p>(211) Its also a hurdle (this marked yes and no)</p>	26	10	3
<p>22. If you previously applied for a Certificate of Appropriateness, was the process helpful and a positive experience?</p> <p>Note: 10 blank</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p>(144)Prior owners did this</p> <p>(211) It took nearly two months because of scheduling to gain approval for location changes of the building</p>	7	10	13
<p>23. A financial hardship provision should be incorporated into the Certificate of Appropriateness process under the Historic Preservation Code, DMC 5-18.</p> <p>Note - two blank</p> <p>Comments: (144) not sure. Income levels change</p>	22	8	8

Notes – 1. The spelling and grammar in comments provided are as interpreted by those compiling the hand written results.

2. Where 2 answers marked for a question, question answers are not counted in total, unless answers were marked differently to clarify one's intent to change one's answer.