

# CHEHALIS BASIN BOARD SUMMARIZED MEETING AGENDA AND ACTIONS

---

**Date:** September 6, 2018

**Time:** 9:00 am to 4:00 pm

**Location:** Centralia Train Depot Multi-Purpose Room: 210 Railroad Ave, Centralia, WA

ITEM	FORMAL ACTION	FOLLOW-UP ACTION
1. Consent September 6, 2018 Agenda Approval of July 12, 2018 Meeting Summary	Decision: Agenda approved. July 12, 2018 meeting summary approved.	No follow-up action.
2. Office of Chehalis Basin (OCB) Director's Report	Discussion	OCB staff will identify interested Board members and technical staff to participate in a large-scale flood damage reduction advisory group and schedule its first meeting.
3. Old Business	Discussion	No follow-up action.
4. Restorative Flood Protection Status Update and Next Steps	Direction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>OCB staff will work with Natural Systems Design (NSD) staff to determine which tasks within the scope of NSD's existing contract with the State should continue.</li> <li>OCB staff will evaluate how NSD and/or other local government, agency, tribal, or consultant staff can contribute to defining how channel migration and erosion hazard assessments can be integrated into a basin-wide 'floodproofing' program.</li> </ul>
5. Aquatic Species Restoration Plan	Discussion	Board members requested a digestible summary of the technical information to be presented at the

<b>ITEM</b>	<b>FORMAL ACTION</b>	<b>FOLLOW-UP ACTION</b>
		September 19-20 ASRP Science Symposium.
6. Forest Practices Evaluation	Discussion	No follow-up action.
7. September 17 Chehalis Basin Field Tour	Discussion	No follow-up action.
8. Project-level EIS for Dam/Airport Levee	Discussion	No follow-up action.
9. Board 2019-2021 Budget Recommendations	Direction	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• OCB staff will update the budget recommendation options per the Board’s direction and provide final 2019-2021 Capital Budget recommendations for possible approval and submittal to the Governor at the October 4 Board meeting.</li> <li>• OCB staff will work with Governor’s Office staff to schedule meeting for Board members to brief Governor Inslee on final budget recommendations.</li> </ul>
10. Next Steps and Closing	Decision	Board members will review and provide OCB staff feedback on proposed Board meeting dates for 2019 at the next Board meeting.

## Attendees

### **Chehalis Basin Board Members Present:**

- Edna Fund, Chehalis River Basin Flood Authority
- J. Vander Stoep, Office of the Governor

- Jay Gordon, Chehalis River Basin Flood Authority
- Steve Malloch, Office of the Governor
- Tyson Johnston, Quinault Indian Nation
- Vickie Raines, Chehalis River Basin Flood Authority

**Chehalis Basin Board Ex-Officio Members Present:**

- Bart Gernhart (alternate for Kris Strickler), Department of Transportation
- Justin Allegro, Department of Fish and Wildlife
- Stephen Bernath, Department of Natural Resources

**Board Staff/Board Guests Present:**

- Andrea McNamara Doyle, Department of Ecology, Director of Office of Chehalis Basin
- Maia Bellon, Director, Department of Ecology
- Anthony Waldrop, Grays Harbor Conservation District
- Arnie Davis, Lewis County Treasurer
- Betsy Dillin, Chehalis River Basin Flood Control Zone District
- Bob Amrine, Lewis County Conservation District
- Chrissy Bailey, Department of Ecology, Office of Chehalis Basin
- Colleen Granberg, Department of Natural Resources
- Curt Hart, Department of Ecology
- Cynthia Carlstad, Carlstad Consulting
- Dale Lewis, Office of Congresswoman Herrera-Butler
- Danielle Dan, Quinault Indian Nation
- Dave Bingaman, Quinault Indian Nation, Aquatic Species Restoration Plan Steering Committee
- Diane Butorac, Department of Ecology
- Emelie McKain, Department of Fish and Wildlife
- Erik Martin, Chehalis River Basin Flood Control Zone District
- Heather Page, Anchor QEA
- Jim Kramer, Ruckelshaus Center (Facilitator)
- Ken Ghalambor, Ruckelshaus Center
- Kris Koski, City of Aberdeen
- Leif Embertson, Natural Systems Design
- Mark Glyde, Quinault Indian Nation
- Mary Catherine McAleer, Weyerhaeuser Company
- Rob Duff, Governor's Office

- Rocky Hrachovec, Natural Systems Design
- Ron Averill, Chehalis River Basin Flood Authority
- Tim Abbe, Natural Systems Design
- Tim Kramer, Department of Fish and Wildlife
- Tom Kollasch, Grays Harbor County Conservation District
- Trent Lougheed, City of Chehalis

## **Welcome, Introductions**

Chair Vickie Raines called the special meeting to order at 9:09 a.m., and welcomed the Board, staff, and audience. Attendees provided brief introductions.

## **Consent Agenda**

The Board did not have additions or revisions to the September 6, 2018 agenda.

**BOARD DECISION:** Agenda approved by consensus with all voting member's thumbs up.

## **Approval of July 12, 2018 Meeting Summary**

The Board did not have additions or revisions to the July 12, 2018 Meeting Summary.

**BOARD DECISION:** July 12, 2018 Meeting Summary approved by consensus with all voting member's thumbs up.

## **OCB Director's Report**

### **Large-scale flood damage reduction sub-group**

At the July Board meeting, Board members discussed the idea of creating a sub-group that advises and prioritizes attention for the Board on recommendations related to large-scale flood damage reduction actions, similar to how the Aquatic Species Restoration Plan (ASRP) Steering Committee provides recommendations for aquatic species restoration actions. OCB Director McNamara Doyle recommended that an informal advisory group be created to discuss large-scale flood damage reduction issues on a periodic basis, rather than setting up a formal sub-committee. The advisory group can discuss technical, policy, and budget issues related to all potential large-scale flood damage reduction actions, including the proposed dam, I-5 protection, Aberdeen-Hoquiam North Shore Levee, and restorative flood protection. The group discussed this idea again at the September meeting.

OCB Director McNamara Doyle's proposed approach for the advisory group's first meeting would be to convene 6-10 people, including Board members and technical staff, to discuss the objectives for the group and ideal meeting frequency. Board members were supportive of the idea to create an advisory group and requested OCB staff identify interested Board members and technical staff to participate and schedule its first meeting.

**FOLLOW-UP ACTION:** OCB staff will identify interested Board members and technical staff to participate in a large-scale flood damage reduction advisory group and schedule the advisory group's first meeting.

### **OCB organizational chart and staffing**

OCB Director McNamara Doyle presented a draft Office of Chehalis Basin organizational chart. The chart displayed the four core OCB staff positions that will be funded through the State Operating budget: OCB Director, Long-Term Strategy Coordinator, Board Coordinator, and Executive Assistant/Office Manager. The recruitment process for the Executive Assistant/Office Manager position is nearly complete, and the position should be filled by the October Board meeting.

The organizational chart also displayed adjunct staff from Ecology Headquarters and Regional offices, who will be funded through the State Capital budget to engage in the project and provide technical expertise. Other OCB partners reflected in the organizational chart include tribes, state and local agencies, and consultants.

### **Field visits around the Basin**

OCB Director McNamara Doyle highlighted recent noteworthy field visits around the Basin, including a Lewis County Farm Bureau Tour, Aberdeen-Hoquiam North Shore Levee tour, and visit to the proposed dam site to learn about the wetland and ordinary high-water mark (OHWM) delineation work occurring. OCB Director McNamara Doyle also met with the Recreation and Conservation Office (RCO) Director to discuss lessons learned through the various salmon recovery funding processes that RCO administers.

### **Informational meetings, presentation materials, and website updates**

OCB Director McNamara Doyle notified the Board of various outreach meetings scheduled in September and October for OCB staff and Board members to reach out to their respective constituencies. For the meetings, OCB and Ecology staff have developed an updated PowerPoint that describes the overall, integrated nature of the Strategy, as well as materials specific to the EIS scoping process.

OCB staff is also in the process of developing infographics that describe the different groups and decision-making structures for major work elements of the Strategy; an interactive GIS map to show completed, in-process, and proposed projects throughout the Basin; and updates to the chehalisbasinstrategy.com website (some website updates will be completed before the EIS scoping period begins, a more thorough website overhaul will occur in the following months).

## **Old Business**

### **Flood Authority 2019-2021 Local Project Submittals**

Chrissy Bailey (OCB) provided an update on the ranking and prioritization of the 2019-2021 local project submittals to the Chehalis River Basin Flood Authority (Flood Authority) from local basin entities. A total

of 17 proposals were received for projects distributed across the Basin, totaling \$10.2M, with \$7.8M for construction projects and \$2.4M for development of plans/studies. A Local Project Reviewers Group made up of Flood Authority members, the lead entity coordinator and state agency and tribal technical staff reviewed and developed preliminary rankings for the project proposals in late July. The Flood Authority further prioritized the preliminary rankings and continues to review some of the project proposals in more detail, where additional information was requested from the applicants. The Flood Authority will revisit the draft list of prioritized local projects at its September 20 meeting. The Chehalis Basin Board members will consider the Flood Authority's recommended list of prioritized local projects at its October 4 meeting as they consider final 2019-2021 capital budget recommendations for submittal to the Governor.

Key comments included:

- Board members suggested that once the ASRP is complete, local project proposals should be evaluated to determine if they have an impact on proposed ASRP projects.
- Board members suggested the new GIS map being developed that shows completed, in-process, and proposed projects differentiate between projects that are currently in-progress versus projects that are a continuation from previous biennia.

### **Restorative Flood Protection Status Update and Next Steps**

Rocky Hrachovec (Natural Systems Design) provided a presentation on the early results of the Restorative Flood Protection (RFP) feasibility evaluation pilot effort in the Newaukum River sub-basin. NSD's recent pilot feasibility results have shown that peak flood flow reductions in major flood events would be about one-fourth of what was originally predicted in the Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS).

These pilot study findings from the Newaukum sub-basin appear to be transferrable to other parts of the Chehalis Basin where the RFP approach was considered in the PEIS. Based on the preliminary results from the Newaukum sub-basin pilot, NSD does not recommend moving to conceptual design of the RFP approach for the entire Newaukum sub-basin. OCB Director McNamara Doyle concurs.

Rocky noted that NSD is recommending a modification to their current scope of work with the State to achieve the overall goals of the Chehalis Basin Strategy through a more tailored approach to addressing flood damage reduction goals. They have provided OCB staff a preliminary outline of a program they refer to as "Community Flood Protection" (CFP). As initially envisioned, this program would entail defining areas throughout the entire Chehalis Basin where rivers are prone to significant channel migration that threaten public and private structures and land uses, assessing landowner interest in buy-outs or relocations, and estimating the size of the program that would be needed to protect landowners from these erosion hazards. This could include areas of the basin that would not be directly protected by

the proposed flood retention facility. It could also include continuing with site-specific concept designs in the Newaukum.

OCB Director McNamara Doyle provided the Board draft recommendations for how to move forward in light of the feasibility study results, and requested the Board's guidance on three overarching questions:

1. Whether you agree with the recommendation to not move to conceptual design of the RFP approach for the entire Newaukum sub-basin;
2. Whether you would like to proceed with a more tailored approach to addressing flood damage reduction goals that focuses on: (a) identifying channel migration zones where flood waters are likely to cause significant erosion hazards that threaten public and private structures and land uses and: (b) developing strategies to assess landowner interest in buy-outs or relocations in response to such hazards.
3. If you would like to proceed with a more tailored approach, do you have guidance or direction for how you would like OCB Staff to approach that work (e.g., as part of the Floodproofing Program, through a "Community Flood Protection" program, or some other approach)?

Key comments and discussion topics included:

- Board members agreed on the OCB staff recommendation to not move to conceptual design of the RFP approach for the entire Newaukum sub-basin.
- Board members agreed that channel migration should be evaluated as part of an overall approach to address flood-damage reduction and be integrated with aquatic species restoration concerns. This program to address erosion hazards/channel migration should be embedded in a comprehensive approach to what has previously been called "floodproofing," and would need to consider not just technical analyses, but also policy/funding considerations, and education/communication strategies.
- Board members agreed that OCB staff should work with NSD to determine which tasks within the scope of their existing contract should continue.
- Board members requested OCB staff evaluate how NSD and/or other local government, agency, tribal, or consultant staff can contribute to defining how channel migration and erosion hazard assessments can be integrated into a basin-wide floodproofing program.
- Conservation District (CD) staff noted that many riverside landowners in the Basin have lost property to channel migration, and that an analysis of channel migration could help them understand potential risk. At the same time, CD staff acknowledged the need to be cautious in presenting landowners information about potential erosion or flood risks on their property, especially in the form of maps that could cause concern, e.g., regarding the devaluing of their land.

- Stephen Bernath (DNR) noted that if landslide risk is evaluated in a future scope of work, coordination with the DNR State Geologist will be necessary to ensure landslides are defined consistently and any maps developed are acceptable to DNR.

**FOLLOW-UP ACTION:** OCB staff will work with NSD staff to determine which tasks within the scope of NSD's existing contract with the State should continue. OCB staff will evaluate how NSD and/or other local government, agency, tribal, or consultant staff can contribute to defining how channel migration and erosion hazard assessments can be integrated into a basin-wide floodproofing program.

## **Aquatic Species Restoration Plan (ASRP)**

### **Update on Early Action Reach Design Projects**

Tim Kramer (Department of Fish and Wildlife) provided an update on the design of early action reach projects. Design teams have collected data on existing conditions in five sub-basins and developed restoration options/alternatives for each sub-basin. Agency and design team staff are now beginning discussions with landowners to discuss conceptual designs to address habitat conditions. Final designs are anticipated to be complete in March 2019, and permitting teams are being formed to ensure designs meet regulatory requirements and cultural resource concerns are addressed.

### **September 19 & 20 Science Symposium**

The ASRP Steering Committee is hosting a *Chehalis Basin ASRP Science Symposium* on September 19 & 20 at the Chehalis Veterans Museum. The meeting on the 19<sup>th</sup> will focus on findings from WDFW's scientific research on fish, other aquatic species, and habitat that are informing the development of the ASRP. The meeting on the 20<sup>th</sup> will focus on strategic prioritization of restoration actions developed by the ASRP Science Review Team (SRT), and an open house session on the SRT's findings for different ecological regions within the Basin.

**FOLLOW-UP ACTION:** Board members requested a digestible form of technical information to be presented at the September 19-20 ASRP Science Symposium.

### **Forest Practices Evaluation**

Stephen Bernath (Department of Natural Resources) noted that DNR has been asked to lead a study to evaluate the relationship between contemporary forest practices and streamflow in the Basin. DNR is in the process of assembling a team of experts to develop a study design that will be sent for peer review. Before the evaluation formally begins, the Board will have an opportunity to review and discuss the proposed peer-reviewed study design, likely in early 2019.

## **September 17 Chehalis Basin Field Tour**

OCB Director McNamara Doyle reminded the Board of the September 17 Capital Budget Writer's field tour. The event will be an opportunity for Board members and OCB staff to build awareness and support among key capital budget writers and to thank basin legislators who have been supportive of the Chehalis Basin Strategy mission. In addition to key legislators and legislative capital budget and agency staff, legislative staff from Rep. Jaime Herrera Beutler and Rep. Derek Kilmer's office will participate. Board members are welcome to participate for the whole tour, or only part of it. A detailed itinerary will be sent out before the tour.

## **Project-level EIS for Dam/Airport Levee**

Erik Martin (Chehalis River Basin Flood Control Zone District) informed the Board that the FCZD is in the process of finalizing its project proposal description to inform public scoping for the SEPA and NEPA EIS for a flood retention facility and improvements to the Centralia-Chehalis Airport Levee. The title of the project is the *Chehalis River Basin Flood-Damage Reduction Project*. Project objectives are likely to include:

- Reducing closure of I-5 to under 24 hours;
- Reducing damage from major flooding along the Chehalis River mainstem;
- Potential for future expansion of the dam.

The project proposal description will also include information about project location, the proposed approach to construction and fish passage for the flood retention facility, and information about the Airport Levee improvements.

Diane Butorac (Department of Ecology) provided an update on the SEPA and NEPA EIS scoping process. Ecology and US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) will carry out a joint scoping process, which will run from September 28 – October 29, 2018. There will be scoping meetings from 5-8pm on October 16 and 17, in the lower and upper portion of the basin, respectively. There will be multiple ways to provide scoping comments, including online, mail, and at the scoping meetings. The SEPA and NEPA scoping notices will be finalized after the FCZD's project proposal description is finalized.

Key comments and discussion topics included:

- Justin Allegro (Fish and Wildlife) requested that the project proposal description define what type of temporary fish passage would be utilized during dam construction.
- Erik Martin (FCZD) clarified that the scoping notice will include a list of anticipated project impacts that will be analyzed, e.g., water quality, fish and wildlife, transportation.
- Board members requested that renderings of the proposed flood retention facility illustrate the five tunnels at the base of the dam for fish passage.

- Clarification was provided that entities who previously submitted comments for the PEIS would need to re-submit them as a scoping comment for the project-specific EIS to be considered as formal comments for the current NEPA/SEPA process.
- Maia Bellon (Ecology) requested that the FAQ being developed for the EIS clarify that the PEIS looked at the integrated Chehalis Basin Strategy, and the EIS is looking at only one proposed project.

**Development of 2019-2021 Budget Recommendations**

At the July 12 Board meeting, Board members requested that OCB Staff:

- Provide refined estimates of 2017-19 carryover funds that may be subject to re-appropriation;
- Prepare a range of investment options for the 2019-21 biennial budget request;
- Develop draft capital budget estimates for implementation of the Long-Term Strategy beyond the 2019-2021 biennium.

OCB Director McNamara Doyle presented information on these topics at the September meeting and requested direction from the Board so that a final draft 2019-2021 budget recommendation can be prepared for possible approval at the October 4 Board meeting.

**2017-2019 Carryover Estimates**

The current estimate of 2017-2019 carryover funds is approximately \$10.8M. Of this total, \$7.2M are obligated funds and \$3.6M are unobligated funds. It is important to note that these are early estimates, and the total carryover amount is likely to be less by the end of the biennium. OCB staff will continue tracking the rate of expenditures and projected carryover amounts that will need to be requested as part of the budget re-appropriation process.

**2019-2021 Budget Options/Questions**

OCB staff developed budget estimates for all major work elements related to advancing the Long-Term Strategy and near-term, on-the-ground actions. All work elements comprising the 2019-2021 budget request are assumed to be funded from the Capital Budget, except for the “Core OCB staff” that Ecology is requesting to be funded through the Operating Budget (State General Fund).

As a starting point, OCB staff developed an option for a budget request that would provide the same level of investment next biennium as is available in the current 2017-2019 biennium (\$50M). This Option One, \$50M investment level is summarized in the table below.

**Comparison of 2017-2019 and 2019-2021 OPTION ONE “\$50M” Budget**

BUDGET ELEMENT	2017-2019 (BUDGETED)	2019-2021 “STATUS QUO”
<b>ADVANCING THE LONG-TERM STRATEGY</b>		

Core OCB Staff	N/A	(Operating) <sup>1</sup>
Overall Participation	\$4.7M	\$4.9M
Development of Long-Term Strategy	\$1.1M	Carryover from 2017-2019
Continued Development of ASRP	\$6.3M	\$3.4M
Restorative Flood Protection	\$5.5M	N/A
SEPA/NEPA EIS for Dam/Airport Levee	\$12.5M	\$11.5M
Forest Practices Analysis	\$330K	\$445K
<i>Sub-Total</i>	<i>\$30.4M</i>	<i>\$20.3M</i>
<b>ON-THE-GROUND ACTIONS</b>		
ASRP Implementation	\$10M <sup>2</sup>	\$17.2M
Aberdeen/Hoquiam North Shore Levee	\$1.5M	\$2.3M
Floodproofing/Floodplain Management	\$400K	\$3.9M
Local Projects	\$7.7M	\$6.3M <sup>3</sup>
<i>Sub-Total</i>	<i>\$19.6M</i>	<i>\$29.7M</i>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$50M</b>	<b>\$50M</b>

<sup>1</sup> \$1.5M for OCB Core Staff funding is included in Ecology’s Operating Budget request.

<sup>2</sup> Authorization was also provided to spend an additional \$10M in federal funds, if received.

<sup>3</sup> Includes \$3M for Aberdeen Fry Creek Restoration & Flood Reduction project.

OCB Director McNamara Doyle noted that while the \$50M funding level represents the same level of effort as 2017-2019, there are important differences in how the funds would be used next biennium. The major difference is an increase in overall funding for “on-the-ground” projects and a decrease in overall funding for advancing the long-term capital strategy, when compared with the current 2017-2019 budget.

Beyond the \$50M level 2019-2021 budget, OCB staff developed additional budget options for the Board to consider to more aggressively pursue advancing the long-term strategy and on-the-ground actions. These additions could increase the total 2019-2021 funding by an additional \$28.5M to \$48.5M. The table below summarizes the potential budget additions.

**Potential 2019-2021 Budget Additions Beyond Current Level**

BUDGET ELEMENT	2017-2019	BUDGET
<b>ADVANCING THE LONG-TERM STRATEGY</b>		
ASRP	Monitoring to assess effectiveness of restoration actions implemented and filling critical data gaps needed to improve effectiveness of ASRP	\$2.4 – 3.2M

SEPA/NEPA EIS for Dam/Airport Levee	Additional DNR staffing for Doty Hills study	\$300K
<i>Sub-Total</i>		<i>\$2.7 – 3.5M</i>
<b>ON-THE-GROUND ACTIONS</b>		
ASRP Implementation	Increased construction and design of projects	\$16 - 29M
Aberdeen/Hoquiam North Shore Levee	25% - 50% of work on conveyance upgrades and pump stations	\$6.3 – 12.5M
Local Projects	Full suite of local projects	\$3.5M
<i>Sub-Total</i>		<i>\$25.8 – 45M</i>
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>\$28.5 – 48.5M</b>

OCB Director McNamara Doyle asked for the Board’s guidance on the following issues to help shape the final budget recommendations:

- Is the draft \$50M funding allocation between the Long-Term Strategy & On-the-Ground projects consistent with the Board’s priorities for next biennium?
- Is \$50M sufficient to “aggressively pursue” the mission in 2019-21?
  - If not, what are the Board’s priorities for investments beyond the \$50M funding scenario?
- How much does the Board want to invest in funding the next phase of the North Shore Levee and implementing ASRP projects (relative to other sources that are potentially available to project sponsors)?

Key comments and discussion topics included:

- Board members and Ecology Director Maia Bellon recommended OCB staff use the phrase “level funding” rather than “status quo” when referring to the proposed 2019-2021 \$50M budget appropriation.
- Board members agreed that \$50M would be minimally sufficient to “aggressively pursue” the mission in 2019-2021 but wanted more information about what additional investment in the ASRP could achieve next biennium before deciding on a final number.
- Board members agreed on the approach to increase overall funding for “on-the-ground” projects and decrease overall funding for advancing the long-term capital strategy, when compared with the current 2017-2019 budget and previous biennia.
- Board members and ASRP Steering Committee members discussed the need for a “level funding” approach to include continued design work in addition to ASRP project construction. Steering Committee members also noted that the full draft ASRP to be released in June 2019 will guide prioritization of future project design and construction.

- Board members suggested that if additional funding is requested beyond “level funding” it should be towards continued design and construction of ASRP projects.
- Vickie Raines suggested the Board consider increasing the request to \$66M to include additional ASRP work identified in the “moderate” ASRP funding scenario.
- Board members requested additional information from the Cities of Aberdeen and Hoquiam on other state and federal funding sources being pursued for North Shore Levee funding.
- Board members suggested their final budget recommendations include more information on what would be achieved through different work elements, e.g., total number of miles restored through ASRP projects.
  - Justin Allegro (Fish and Wildlife) suggested the Board consider highlighting the benefits to Orca populations through restoration of Chinook habitat.
- Board members suggested considering how to incorporate authorization to spend federal funding next biennium as well, so long as it doesn’t supplant the available state funding.
- Stephen Bernath (Natural Resources) suggested that the “level funding” approach include additional DNR staffing for the Doty Hills study.
- Ron Averill (Flood Authority) noted that the Flood Authority will have a revised proposed list of prioritized local projects at their September 20 meeting.
- Ecology Director Maia Bellon suggested that a subset of Board members accompany OCB Director McNamara Doyle when she briefs OFM and legislative staff on the Board’s final budget recommendations.
- Ecology Director Maia Bellon noted that she would advocate/support the Board’s final budget recommendation.
- Rob Duff (Governor’s Office) will help schedule a time for a subset of Board members to meet with Governor Inslee to present the Board’s final budget recommendations after the October board meeting.

**FOLLOW-UP ACTION:** OCB staff will update the budget recommendation options per the Board’s direction and provide final 2019-2021 Capital Budget recommendations for possible approval and submittal to the Governor at the October 4 Board meeting. OCB staff will work with Rob Duff to find a time for a board delegation to meet with Governor Inslee.

## **Next Steps and Closing**

The next Board meeting is scheduled for October 4, 2018. Jim Kramer (Facilitator, Ruckelshaus Center) requested Board members review and provide OCB staff feedback on proposed Board meeting dates for 2019 at the next meeting. This would shift the Board’s regular meetings from the first Thursday of the month to the second Thursday of each month.

**FOLLOW-UP ACTION:** Board members will review and provide OCB staff feedback on proposed Board meeting dates for 2019.