

September 14, 2016

TO: Flood Authority Members

FROM: Scott Boettcher, Staff

SUBJECT: Proposed 2017-19 Local Projects

Purpose of this memo is to orient Flood Authority members to 2017-19 local project proposals received to date and to present for discussion key considerations and next steps identified by the Projects Committee. We will discuss this at tomorrow's Flood Authority meeting. Please feel free to call or email if you have questions (i.e., 360/480-6600, scottb@sbgh-partners.com).

2017-19 Local Project Proposals Received

- 10 project proposals have been received to date totaling \$7,486,204.
- 2-3 more project proposals are pending (that will added to the total funding requested):
 - Construction of the Wishkah Road Flood Levee.
 - o Construction of Phase II of China Creek Project.
 - o Design of an elevated farm pad approach that passes flood water and stays above floodplain.
- Projects received to date are summarized in attached table and can be viewed
 at https://www.ezview.wa.gov/site/alias_1492/28124/DesktopDefault.aspx?alias=1492&PageID=28124#2017.

Key Considerations

Projects committee met 9/07/2016 to review and discuss proposals received to date. In so doing several interrelated topics were raised that both enriched the Committee's discussions as well suggested the need for further thought/discussion, namely:

- What are "local" projects? We are increasingly seeing projects proposed by entities other than public
 works departments (which have historically been the predominant source for local projects). The
 Committee will discuss this in the context of perhaps an evolving definition of "local projects."
- Do we have the right funding structure for the job at hand? 2015-17 funding was structured around:
 - Local projects



- Flood proofing
- Habitat restoration
- o Farm pads

Is this the right structure for 2017-19?

- How to deal with "tweeners"? In other words how to deal with projects that exist between funding sources or straddle multiple funding sources and as such could inadvertently be orphaned (e.g., individual agricultural landowners with river bank erosion problems that threaten livestock and livelihoods).
- Pros/cons of establishing funding line items for project types (versus actual projects). Projects Committee wonders for projects involving individuals (who are not part of capital facility plans and the like) about the pros/cons of establishing dedicated budget line-items for such categories as emergency agricultural conservation and local flood proofing.

Next Steps

Projects Committee proposes the following by next Flood Authority meeting:

- 1. Fulfill additional information needs identified in attached table.
- 2. Develop funding proposal in conjunction with Office of Chehalis Basin that considers:
 - Jump starting projects with unspent 2015-17 funds as makes sense.
 - Providing budget placeholder for basin-wide:
 - o Emergency agricultural conservation.
 - Local flood proofing.
 - Incorporating pending project proposals not yet received (Wishkah Flood Levee and elevated farm pad approach).
 - Potential carry forward needs of existing projects.
 - Perspectives of QIN and Chehalis Tribe.

| Sponsor | Project Proposal | \$\$ Request | Note | Additional Information Needs |
|--------------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| 1. Aberdeen | Southside Levee | \$ 21,600 | Need to contract survey as | * Determine if \$21.6K available in |
| | Recertification | • | Aberdeen does not have registered | 2015-17 funds to apply now. |
| | Survey | | "Professional Land Surveyors" as | |
| | - | | FEMA requires. | |
| 2. Centralia | China Creek | tbd | | * Phase II design (underway now) is |
| | | | budget. | to be followed by Phase II |
| | | | | construction. |
| 3. Chehalis | Airport Storm | \$ 350,000 | 2nd increased funding request | * Review detail on past, future |
| | Water Pump | | necessary to complete design and | expenditures. |
| | <u>Station</u> | | implement desired back- | * Verify not funding O&M. |
| | | | up/redundancy systems. | * Determine if \$25K available in |
| | | | , , | 2015-17 funds to apply now. |
| 4. Chehalis | Chehalis | \$ 2,823,380 | Four-phase project to: | * Meet with Ecology and proponent |
| | <u>Wastewater</u> | | 1. demo/remove wastewater | to understand history, obligations, |
| | Treatment Facility | | facility. | benefits, risks, etc. |
| | Removal & Habitat | | 2. create 10-acres wetland habitat. | |
| | Restoration Project | | 3. monitor wetland/flood storage. | |
| 5. Grays | Wishkah Road | tbd | Placeholder for construction budget. | * RCO holding \$3.83M (2015-17 |
| Harbor | Flood Levee | | | funds). |
| 6. Hoquiam | Ramer Street Pump | \$ 1,300,000 | Construct new pumping station | * Verify project identified in City's |
| | <u>Station</u> | | (with appropriately sized pipes) to | Comprehensive Surface |
| | | | discharges flood waters to Raymer | Water Management Plan. |
| | | | Street Outfall and resolve long- | - |
| | | | standing tidally influenced flooding | |
| | | | problem. | |
| 7. Montesano | West McBryde | \$ 135,000 | Upgrade 18" culvert with 60" culvert | * Determine if "habit" or "local" |
| | <u>Culvert</u> | | to convey problem flood waters and | project. |
| | Replacement | | provide fish passage. | |
| 8. Napavine | Kirkland Road | \$ 595,000 | Upgrade draining infrastructure to | * Determine if any down stream |
| | <u>Drainage</u> | | better convey flood waters from | effects. |
| | <u>Improvements</u> | | east of I-5 to west of I-5. | * Placeholder until study |
| | <u>Construction</u> | | | completed. |
| 9. Thurston | Residential | \$ 1,932,500 | Elevate 10 structures above | * Recharacterize as basin-wide |
| County | Elevations/Buy- | | floodplain and buy out 8 within the | proposal with Thurston County |
| | (link to add'l | | floodway. | implementing 1st (on basis of prior |
| | material) | | | work, readiness). |
| 10. Thurston | Independence Road | \$ 100,000 | Evaluate hydrologic flows, identify | * Determine if any 2015-17 funds |
| County | Flood Reduction | | alternatives to alleviate chronic | available to jump start the project. |
| | Feasibility Study | | flooding on Independence Road, | |
| | | | and select solution, costs, funding. | |
| 11. Thurston | <u>Upgrade Stream</u> | \$ 97,810 | Establish common elevation for 18 | * Convene gages group to review. |
| County | Gaging Network | | gages and secure one-time | * Consider Flood Authority gages. |
| (w/ USGS) | | | operational funding for 3 gages. | * Verify Capital Budget eligibility. |
| | Chehalis Basin | \$ 130,914 | Extend HWS beyond salmon | * Consider Office of Columbia |
| Sustainable | Habitat Work | | recovery to be a common, visible, | River's successful Instream Atlas |
| Salmon | Schedule Pilot | | | project at |
| Coalition | | | investment planning/funding in the | http://wdfw.wa.gov/publications/o1 |
| | | | | , |
| | | | Flood Authority, Office of Chehalis | * Determine if 2015-17 funds |
| | | | Basin). | available to jump start the project. |
| | | \$ 7,486,204 | | |