Chehalis Basin Aquatic Species Restoration Plan

November 2nd, 2017

This Presentation

- Update on Phase 1 development
- Preview initial expected outcomes and costs
- Next steps for the ASRP



ASRP Development

- Phase 1 draft November 30th
- Review the draft ASRP in December and January

 Chehalis Board
 Tribal Councils
 - o WDFW
- Provide feedback by the end of January
- Recommend any adjustments or refinements



ASRP Development

- Phase 1 document: *November 30th*
 - o Basin-wide analysis of restoration potential
 - Expected outcomes
 - Range of investment
- Phase 2 document *Spring/Summer 2018*
 - Reach-scale analysis of restoration potential
 - Refinements to outcomes
 - Cost efficiencies
 - Adaptive management
 - Phasing and planning for implementation

Summary of Results

- Expected Outcomes:
 - 300% 570% increase for Spring Chinook by end of century
 - 119% 180% increase for Coho by end of century
 - Dramatic losses if no action is taken
- Costs:
 - Moderate Restoration Option
 - \$400M \$800M
 - High Restoration Option
 - \$700M \$1.3B

Summary of Modeled Options

- Future No Action
- Moderate Restoration

 164 miles restored outside managed forests
 130 miles restored inside managed forests
 294 miles restored total
- High Restoration
 - 348 miles restored outside managed forests
 130 miles restored inside managed forests
 478 miles restored total

Modeling Considerations

- Based upon current conditions with no additional degradation outside of climate change
- Natural variability of ocean conditions, as well as the effects of climate change on the oceans, will affect results
- Timing and magnitude of outcomes will change depending on sequencing and pace of implementation
- Based on average salmon run sizes over the last 10 years
- Abundance of salmon is highly variable from year to year

Actions for Selected Reaches

- Based on concurrence from the Science and Review Team on what is needed to restore ecological processes
- Treatments modeled for 294 478 miles
- Actions that were modeled include:
 - Large wood placement
 - Riparian restoration
 - Floodplain reconnection
 - o Land acquisition
 - Fish passage barriers



Action – Large Wood Placement

• Large Wood Placement

- Placement rate for engineered log jams is 2 per mile for large rivers, 3 per mile for medium rivers
- Also includes placement of additional large wood at a rate of 65 pieces per mile for large and medium rivers, 175 pieces per mile for small streams



Action – Riparian Restoration

- Riparian and Wetland Restoration
 - 150 350 miles of riparian restoration on selected reaches
 - Involves removing invasive vegetation, installing native plants, and maintaining for one year
 - Average corridor widths for restoration in unmanaged forest areas consistent conceptually with the Forest Practices Act, and varies by stream size



Action – Riparian Restoration

- Riparian and Wetland Restoration
 - Assumes either an acquisition or easement accompanies restoration
 - Requires ongoing stewardship, the costs of which have been incorporated into estimates
 - Structure relocation/removal where appropriate, the costs of which have been incorporated into estimates

Action – Floodplain Reconnection

- Reconnect Side Channels and Oxbows

 Assumes 1 off-channel restoration site per 2 miles in restored areas
- Reconnect Floodplain Wetlands
 - Assumes 1 wetland restoration site per 2 miles in restored areas



Action – Land Acquisition

• Easements

- Assumes easement is purchased at 75% of land value
- Includes due diligence (appraisals, surveys, and recording fees)
- For acres to be acquired, 67% would be easements

Land Purchase

- Higher cost for residential or urban floodplain areas or for projects that will relocate/remove structures
- o For acres to be acquired, 33% would be purchased

Action – Fish Passage Barriers

Barrier Replacements/Corrections

 Approximately 300 culverts to be removed or replaced





Expected Outcomes

- Future No Action
- Moderate Restoration
- High Restoration

All options assume:

- o Benefit from the maturation of riparian forests
- Impacts from climate change
- No additional degradation from other human activities

Expected Outcomes - Future No Action



Expected Outcomes - Coho



Expected Outcomes – Spring Chinook



Expected Outcomes – Fall Chinook



Expected Outcomes - Steelhead



Expected Outcomes - Chum



Variability in Salmon Abundance



Coho ASRP Scenarios

Summary of Expected Outcomes

Salmon Abundance by Mid Century

Effects of Climate Change included in Moderate and High Scenarios

		Moderate		High				
	No Action	Change from Current	Change from No Action	Change from Current	Change from No Action			
Coho	-22%	2%	32%	13%	46%			
Spring Chinook	-22%	11%	43%	28%	64%			
Fall Chinook	-9%	<u>2%</u>	12%	10%	20%			
Steelhead	-10%	-3%	8%	0%	11%			
Chum	0%	7%	7%	9%	8%			

Summary of Expected Outcomes

Salmon Abundance by End of Century

Effects of Climate Change included in Moderate and High Scenarios

		Moderate		High				
	No Action	Change from Current	Change from No Action	Change from Current	Change from No Action			
Coho	-30%	55%	119%	97%	180%			
Spring Chinook	-50%	106%	311%	239%	570%			
Fall Chinook	-26%	12%	51%	40%	88%			
Steelhead	-23%	25%	62%	43%	86%			
Chum	0%	20%	19%	26%	25%			

Anticipated Cost Ranges

- Moderate Restoration Option

 \$400M \$800M
- High Restoration Option
 \$700M \$1.3B



Cost Drivers

- Riparian restoration (land acquisition, planting, land management) is the primary driver of cost

 Largest cost is compensating willing landowners for a change in their property:
 - Approximately 11,000 acres for the moderate scenario
 - Approximately 20,000 acres for the high scenario
- The number of river miles treated is the driver of costs between scenarios
- Density of treatments were determined by the Science and Review Team and affect cost per mile

Key Considerations

- Moderate Restoration
 - Model does not incorporate impacts from a potential dam or benefits from Restorative Flood Protection alternative
 - Implementation may take some time, reducing certainty of benefit
 - Mid-century impacts as modeled show a decline for some species from current
 - Aggressive restoration decreases chances of a listing for Spring Chinook

• High Restoration

- May not have sufficient landowner interest to achieve high option
- Achieving high level of restoration in a short time frame may be difficult
- Funding need is substantial

Guidance Needed

- Phase 1 draft November 30th
- Review the draft ASRP in December and January

 Chehalis Board
 Tribal Councils
 WDFW
- Provide feedback by the end of January
- Recommend any adjustments or refinements
- Phase 2 will incorporate recommendations

