## **ASRP Project Review Notes**

Project Name	Cloquallum Knotweed Eradication
Project Sponsor	GHCD and GHNWB
Project Type	Riparian

RIT Recommendation: Advance to TRT

TRT Recommendation: Advance to SC

SC Recommendation: Advance to CBS Board

### Step 1: RIT Review

RIT Review Date: 1/18/2024

Are there any modifications to the project required before advancing? Please specify below.

• Make sure Cultural resources is included in budget

# Should the project sponsor consult or present to any other groups before advancing?

No

#### RIT discussion to be shared with TRT:

- Comment- planting costs seem high- 10k per acre or 3 days per acre.
  - Response- estimates for amount of acreage needed for re-planting is a very rough estimate
- Question- do you anticipate being able to keep knotweed eradicated?
  - In highly infested areas, can take 5 years of re-application. Could require monitoring post year 5.
  - $\circ$  Training landowners to monitor their property can help post year 5
  - GHNWB could have a role in jumping in after year 5
- Question- How much landowner support is there currently?
  - Landowners seem to be generally aware of the problem and very supportive of these types of projects. GHNWB gets a lot of phone calls from this region about knotweed, but can't step in until there is project funding

#### RIT discussion to be shared with SC:

- Question- why not apply for riparian funding through SRFB/CDs?
  - SRFB/CD Only two years, need a longer grant for this kind of things/SRFB wants to see the site potential tree width/ project is in a ASRP near term area

#### Other summarized notes from RIT review, if any:

- Question- how will you track knotweed?
  - No formal database
  - Could be shared with Lewis CD

### Step 2: TRT Review

TRT Review Date: 3/4/2024

## Are there any modifications to the project required before advancing? Please specify below.

No

Should the project sponsor consult or present to any other groups before advancing?

No

#### Expectations for next project milestone (design, implementation, etc.)

- Reporting on
  - acreage of treatment and surveys (ideally spatial)
  - need for re-treatment
  - Landowner outreach
- Coordinate with other control efforts on basin-wide reporting

#### TRT discussion to be shared with Sponsor:

• Could be opportunity to target on areas with potential for future projects

#### TRT discussion to be shared with SC:

- Cloquallum Knotweed situation is currently in a "manageable" state. Good timing to do this work. High certainty of success
- Observation that GHCD and GHNWCB has a lot of experience with this type of work
- Get Landowner buy-in early

#### Other summarized notes from TRT discussion, if any:

None

## Step 3: SC Review

#### SC review date(s): 3/6/2024

#### SC discussion notes specific to the project:

• No additional questions

#### SC questions, clarifications, or requests for the project sponsor:

- Question- why is this project budget greater than Lewis CD's Upper Basin Knotweed control project?
  - Answer: the GHCD project contains a greater amount of knotweed treatment and planting acreage. The two projects are comparable in terms of price per acre.

#### SC questions or clarifications sought from TRT:

• none

Special conditions, milestones, or modifications requested by SC:

• none

Other discussion notes or flags raised for more program-level discussion needed:

• none