Update: Process to Develop Voluntary Clean Water Guidance for Nonpoint Sources



- Interviews
- Online survey
- Researched other states
- Meetings
- Webinar



What have we done to get input

Finish Interviews and Close Survey

Webinar-Present on what we have heard and what it means to process design

Draft Process

Solicit Feedback on Draft January-February, 2017 Process Design Completed April 2017 Start Process to
Develop
Guidance
2017

Timeline



Draft Process



Produce Voluntary Clean Water Guidance for Agriculture.



Changing our Approach:

- Work with an Advisory Group.
- Organize guidance around <u>practices</u>.
- Focus on evaluating <u>effectiveness</u> and <u>implementation considerations</u>.



- Goal is to have a group with diverse perspectives.
- Balanced representation.



- Identifying Participants-Group participants will be identified. through a solicitation process.
- Approximatly 10-20 members.



- Step One-Work with advisory group to compile the list of practices to evaluate.
- Cast a wide net.
- Include, but not be limited to,
 NRCS practices.

State of Washington

Identifying Practices

Implementation Evaluation

Effectiveness Evaluation



- Compile, review, and synthesize information on implementation considerations:
 - Capital cost
 - Operation and maintenance requirements and costs
 - Technical requirements
 - Lifespan, land area requirements
 - Barriers to implementation



Implementation Workgroup

- Compile information and data on the effectiveness of the identified practices.
- Review, and synthesize information and data to develop effectiveness estimates for each practice.



Effectiveness Workgroup

- Combine information from implementation and effectiveness subgroups into one document.
- Public comment.
- Annual cycle.



 The process should promote collaboration, transparency and common understanding.

State of Washington

 Effective practices support compliance with the water quality standards.

Process Principles

- Water quality protection is most likely achieved through using a combination of practices together at a site.
- Implementation support for voluntary clean water practices will be important.

State of Washington

Process Principles

Comments



- Reviewing comments and completing responsiveness summary.
- Eighteen comments were received.
- Mix of stakeholders and perspectives.



- Structure of the Advisory Group.
- Timing.
- Decision Making.
- Better Define What Effectiveness Means



Key Themes from Comments

- Clarification on Which Pollutants are Covered.
- Role of NRCS Standards.
- Suites of Practices.
- Other.



Keep the basic structure but provide more detail to clarify:

- The structure of the advisory group;
- The qualifications for serving on the effectiveness subgroup;
- How we will carry out the work of collecting literature and synthesize it;
- The roles and purpose of each of the workgroups;
- Ground rules.

- Build in more opportunities for the two subgroups to share information.
- Include a more detailed schedule-look for ways that we can expedite the process (while not sacrificing quality).
- Better define what we mean by "effectiveness" (i.e. pollutant removal and prevention).

State of Washington

Changes

- Considering limiting the advisory group to a smaller number of people (10-12).
- Clarify that we will be providing guidance for all water quality pollutants/parameters.
- More information on suites of practices and their effectiveness.





Questions and Discussion

Contact:
Ben Rau
Ben.Rau@ecy.wa.gov
360-407-6551

