

# AGENDA

With  
MINUTES ADDED (in *italics*)

*SAM Study: Mobile Businesses, Stormwater Source Control, and Multi-Jurisdiction Coordination*

Technical Advisory Committee, May 31, 2022, 13:00-15:00

**Teams video call:** [Click here to join the meeting](#)

Video Conference ID: 113 087 422 7

**Or call in (audio only)** +1 425-653-6586, Audio ID: 749 559 691#

## WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS, 1 PM

### *Attendees:*

<i>Ben Parrish</i>	<i>City of Covington</i>
<i>Heather Martin</i>	<i>City of Kent</i>
<i>Laura Haren</i>	<i>City of Kent</i>
<i>Kenneth R. Waldo</i>	<i>City of Redmond</i>
<i>Scott McQuary</i>	<i>City of Redmond</i>
<i>Brett Raunig</i>	<i>City of Vancouver (on behalf of Nikki)</i>
<i>Bob Patterson</i>	<i>Clark County</i>
<i>Andy Maher</i>	<i>Ecology PPA Program</i>
<i>Todd Hunsdorfer</i>	<i>King County</i>
<i>Heidi Siegelbaum</i>	<i>Washington Stormwater Center</i>
<i>James Packman</i>	<i>Aspect Consulting</i>
<i>Parker Wittman</i>	<i>Aspect Consulting</i>

## SURVEY FINDINGS MEMORANDUM (Task 2), 1: 10 PM

- Key findings
- Working definition of Mobile Business
- Outreach & Technical Assistance
- Barriers to Waste Handling

### *Discussion:*

- *Definition of mobile businesses (Questions 3 and 5)*
  - *Include travel outside of a jurisdiction*
  - *Function vs service*
  - *Who is the service for?*
  - *Tax implications*
  - *Some may be inspected by other programs (such as construction or industrial permit programs)*
- *Barriers to compliance with waste handling (Question 8)*
  - *Waste water/process water not captured in survey question*

- *Coordination with other programs or agencies (Question 9)*
  - *Information sharing may be limited by systems compatibility if different*
- *Outcomes that will help the Study*
  - *For Best Practices Document (Task 5)*
    - *Revised (better) definition of mobile businesses*
    - *Consider flow chart or decision tree to help determine if a business should be added to an inventory*
    - *Ideas for coordinating across departments of jurisdictions*
    - *Outreach and technical assistance topics for mobile businesses*
  - *For Pilot Study (Task 6)*
    - *Understanding potential limits on how much jurisdictions can easily share information or coordinate on mobile businesses*

#### **IDDE DATA ANALYSIS MEMORANDUM (Task 4), 1: 45 PM**

- Data trends and key outcomes
- Just Mobile Business data (n=65)
- How this information is used for this Study

#### *Discussion:*

- *Distribution of permittees*
  - *Many not represented – didn't submit IDDE data for 2020*
  - *Skews results toward Kirkland for Phase IIs and Tacoma for Phase Is*
  - *May reflect different interpretations of data submittal by permittees*
  - *May reflect required vs optional workflow under the WQIDDE intake form*
- *Mobile businesses (as a pollutant source)*
  - *Almost all responses from one permittee*
  - *Slightly different distribution of pollutants, which Soap or Cleaning Chemicals occurring relatively more often*
- *Outcomes that will help the Study*
  - *For Best Practices Document (Task 5)*
    - *Better understanding of pollutants, sources, outreach, and correction methods associated with mobile businesses*
    - *Similarities between 2014 and 2020 in the ways permittees report IDDE data*
- *Next*
  - *Comments on draft IDDE memo due from TAC by Friday June 3*
  - *Integrate feedback and comments into memo and prepare a final version*

#### **BUSINESS INVENTORY PROCESS (Task 3), 2: 15 PM**

- State Department of Revenue discussions
- What we think is possible
  - Spreadsheet tool to make the source control site inventorying procedure easier
- Next Steps

- Obtain data from DOR for BLS partner jurisdictions
- Obtain data from individual jurisdictions for non-BLS partners<sup>1</sup> (some? all?)
- Develop a text query protocol to develop fingerprint(s) of mobile businesses
- Create spreadsheet tool for creating source control inventory from business license data

*Discussion:*

- *How fine of a “sieve” do we build?*
  - *Lets through too much and mobile businesses are missed, or*
  - *Retains too much and is extra work to verify businesses*
- *BLS partners vs. non-partners*
  - *Ideally, the spreadsheet tool will be agnostic to the data source and can work with data from BLS or other sources (e.g. FileLocal)*
- *Leave room for the range of permittee approaches to including mobile businesses, e.g. select which search terms to use (not mandatory to use all)*
- *Next*
  - *Screenshare with City of Redmond for BLS system interface*
  - *Obtain data from DOR for BLS partners*
  - *Develop keyword search criteria and “fingerprints” of mobile businesses of interest to source control*
    - *Based on Endorsement type, Product Description, and business name*
    - *Request TAC feedback on keyword search approach*
  - *Develop and test spreadsheet tool*

**WRAP-UP & NEXT MEETING, 2:50 PM**

TAC comments on IDDE memo due: Friday, June 3

Survey memo comments welcome but not required

Next TAC meeting: September 2022, date TBD

---

<sup>1</sup>Cities of Bellevue, Des Moines, Kent, Lake Forest Park, Renton, Seattle, Shoreline, and Snoqualmie.