

# SKOOKUMCHUCK DAM PHASE 1 ANALYSIS SUMMARY

October 7, 2021



## INFORMATION AND ANALYSIS TO DATE

- Compiled studies and data such as from U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 2003/2012 flood reduction studies, pre-dam habitat/fisheries studies, TransAlta, etc.
- Existing dam configuration and operations
- Hydrologic analysis (inflows and outflows)
- Simple reservoir spreadsheet model
- Possible fish passage or flood storage options
- Dam modification potential costs
- Dam removal considerations and costs
- Water rights













## UPPER WATERSHED HABITAT CONDITIONS

- Limited recent data
- Pre-dam survey and Weyerhaeuser watershed assessment indicate:
  - Three splash dams present historically, last one removed in 1969; affected fish access to most of the river
  - Pre-dam, WDF estimated spawning capacity based on habitat area/accessibility:
    - ~ 4-5 miles of Chinook spawning habitat
    - ~8 miles of coho spawning habitat
    - ~20+ miles of steelhead spawning habitat
    - Estimated potential chum spawning because they historically occurred in the river



#### POTENTIAL FLOOD STORAGE AND/OR FISH BENEFITS

- Hydrologic analysis of gages (4 gages: 1940-2021)
- Simple spreadsheet model of reservoir
- Simple model evaluates flood storage separately from fish passage flows
  - Routed reservoir inflows to meet Minimum Discharge (95 cfs):
  - Ran three different operating scenario (2 fish, 1 flood storage)
  - Plotted reservoir exceedance levels throughout year



#### HYDROLOGIC ANALYSIS

- Reservoir has approximately 33,000 acre feet of active storage
- Volume frequency analysis of reservoir inflows shows events that would fill all storage (assuming zero outflow)

<b>Frequency Ana</b>	lysis of Skookun	nchuck Reservoi	r Inflow Volເ	umes (acre-feet)
----------------------	------------------	-----------------	---------------	------------------

RETURN PERIOD	1-DAY	2-DAY	3-DAY	5-DAY	7-DAY
2-Year	5,700	9,700	12,400	17,400	21,500
10-Year	11,000	18,000	22,000	28,300	33,300
25-Year	13,900	22,300	26,700	33,000	39,000
100-Year	18,200	28,700	33,600	39,500	47,100











## CURRENT TAKEAWAYS

- Fish passage and flood storage are currently not compatible
- Flood storage capability is limited with current dam configuration except in the driest years; have not analyzed future climate change
- Downstream steelhead passage is feasible if dam operated to release most flows through sluiceway in spring
- An adjustable sluice would be more effective



#### DOWNSTREAM SPAWNING FLOWS

- Currently, TransAlta is required to ramp up flows from September 1 through October 20 of each year to support spawning
- Flows typically ramp up from 95 cfs (or less) prior to September 1 to 100-140 cfs during the designated spawning timing (Chinook salmon)
- May contribute to issue of fall Chinook spawning over spring Chinook redds
- Currently, WDFW actively working with TransAlta to reduce the spawning flows to see if this delays fall Chinook spawning, let flows come up more naturally



# WATER RIGHTS INFO

- TransAlta current water right is for 51.6 cfs (28,003 ac-ft) water; recently applied to place this water right in a water bank
- TransAlta applied for a temporary water right for 27.5 cfs (12,000 ac-ft) to continue operating the one turbine through 2025
- The City of Centralia agreed to purchase 6,720 ac-ft from the TransAlta water bank
- TransAlta holds a non-consumptive water right of 140 cfs for the small hydropower; proposed to continue
- WDFW holds two non-consumptive water rights of 20 and 10 cfs for fish propagation at the hatchery; proposed to continue
- Downstream along the Skookumchuck River are an additional ~21 cfs of water rights (not related to TransAlta)



## DAM MODIFICATIONS

- Escalated costs for spillway and outlet modifications proposed by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers in 2003/2012
  - \$22.3 million without spillway elevation raise (actively control 11,000 AF of storage)
  - \$27.5 million with spillway elevation raise (adds 9,000 AF of storage)
  - This likely did not include mitigation costs for reservoir raise, costs above do not include operational costs
- Ballpark estimate for fish sluice modifications (such as adding a floating weir)
  - \$500,000, does not include operational costs



# DAM REMOVAL CONSIDERATIONS

- Several recent Pacific Northwest dam removal examples; only one was an earth fill dam (Skookum Dam, Oregon coast)
- Could consider partial or full removal, both types of removals have occurred
- Partial removal screening estimate ranges from \$20 – 35 million
- Full removal screening estimate ranges from \$30 50 million







# POTENTIAL FURTHER ANALYSES

- Detailed reservoir model
- Hydraulic modeling of downstream reaches/including updated cross-section survey
- Concept designs for fish sluice
- Habitat survey upstream of the reservoir
- Bathymetric survey of the reservoir
- Detailed modeling of fish sluice
- Concept design for spillway/outlet modifications (only if storage is feasible)
- EDT updates and modeling of upper watershed
- Evaluation of impacts to water rights downstream, including affected portion of Chehalis River



# QUESTIONS/COMMENTS

