### **Snohomish County:**

WRIA 7										
HUC	New R	esidential Dw		Rural Cap	city 2019					
		Public Water	P-E Well	Public Water	P-E Well					
Name	Year Built	Areas	Areas	Areas	Areas					
	2008	38	20							
	2009	16	9							
	2010	9	9							
	2011	6	9							
Tulalin Crook Frontal	2012	4	2							
Tulalip Creek - Frontal Possession Sound	2013 2014	14 10	2 8							
Possession sound	2014	4	o 7	379	224					
	2015	4	, 17							
	2017	16	15							
	2018	16	14							
	Total	137	112							
Percent of Total WRIA by HUC	9.09%	5.00%	4.09%	6						
	2008	12	22							
	2009	5	7							
	2010	0	5							
	2011	0	16							
	2012	1	11							
Quilceda Creek	2013	1	5							
Canada ar ann	2014	2	11	466	747					
	2015	2	15							
	2016	2	9							
	2017 2018	0	13							
	Total	3 28	24 138							
Percent of Total WRIA by HUC		1.02%	5.04%							
, 	6.06% 2008	17	5							
	2008	4	3							
	2003	6	1							
	2011	14	7							
	2012	18	1							
Snohomish River - Frontal	2013	49	5							
Possession Sound	2014	31	6	202	102					
	2015	13	13	382	192					
	2016	23	10							
	2017	16	8							
	2018	8	6							
	Total	199	65							

Percent of Total WRIA by HUC	9.64%	7.26%	2.37%		
	2008	11	48		
	2009	5	14		
	2010	10	7		
	2011	12	3		
	2012	6	5		
Little Pilchuck River	2013	15	5		
	2014	3	18	834	1308
	2015	21	10		
	2016	25	13		
	2017	14	21		
	2018	8	15		
	Total	130	159	9	
Percent of Total WRIA by HUC	10.55%	4.74%	5.80%		
	2008	57	22		
Lower Pilchuck River	2009	22	7		
	2010	11	6		
	2011	13	14		
	2012	15	5	2	
	2013	26	2		
	2014	21	7	1488	821
	2015	26	8		
	2016	50	11		
	2017	43	12		
	2018	24	32		
	Total	308	126		
Percent of Total WRIA by HUC	15.84%	11.24%	4.60%		
	2008	24	11		
	2009	2	4		
	2010	1	5		
	2011	11	8		
	2012	19	1		
French Creek	2013	45	3		
	2014	21	6	904	189
	2015	10	6		
	2016	7	4		
	2017	12	1		
	2018	9	19		
	Total	161	68		
Percent of Total WRIA by HUC	8.36%	5.88%	2.48%		
	2008	11	4		
	2009	14	8		

Evans Creek - Snohomish River	2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018	6 10 2 4 13 17 10 14 20	2 6 4 4 5 9 6 9 5	659	230
Percent of Total WRIA by HUC	6.68%	4.42%	2.26%		
Peoples Creek - Snoqualmie River	2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018	1 1 0 0 1 0 5 1 0	5 1 5 2 1 2 1 13 16 6	50	354
Percent of Total WRIA by HUC	2.34%	0.36%	1.97%		
Upper Pilchuck River Percent of Total WRIA by HUC	2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018	24 10 7 22 20 10 11 11 14 6 18 153	7 5 3 2 0 0 3 4 0 1 2 27	800	212

MOOR? CIEEK	2014	14	11		
	2015	32	8	1206	698
	2016	39	20		
	2017	32	16		
	2018	36	16		
	Total	269	123		
Percent of Total WRIA by HUC	14.31%	9.82%	4.49%		
	2008	7	19		
	2009	0	10		
	2010	0	4		
	2011	3	1		
	2012	0	0		
Elwell Creek - Skykomish River	2013	1	3		
Liweii Creek - Skykoriiisii Kivei	2014	1	5	156	437
	2015	1	12	130	437
	2016	3	3		
	2017	2	4		
	2018	0	3		
	Total	18	64		
Percent of Total WRIA by HUC	2.99%	0.66%	2.34%		
	2008	0	1		
	2009	2009 0 0			
	2010	0	1		
	2011	0	4		
	2012	0	0		
Cherry Creek	2013	0	0		
Cherry Creek	2014	0	0	0	35
	2015	0	0	U	33
	2016	0	0		
	2017	0	0		
	2018	0	0		
	Total	0	6		
Percent of Total WRIA by HUC	0.22%	0.00%	0.22%		
	2008	2	4		
	2009	0	2		
	2010	0	0		
	2011	0	4		
	2012	0	1		
Louise Culton Divon	2013	0	0		
Lower Sultan River	2014	0	6	63	473
	2015	8	5	82	172
	2016	13	2		
	2017	19			

	2018	9	0		
	Total	51	29		
Percent of Total WRIA by HUC	2.92%	1.86%	1.06%		
	2008	6	6		
	2009	0	8		
	2010	0	1		
	2011	0	3		
McCov Crook Skykomich	2012 2013	0	2 0		
McCoy Creek - Skykomish River	2013	1	3		
Mivei	2014	1	3	60	237
	2016	1	2		
	2017	1	7		
	2018	3	2		
	Total	13	37	7	
Percent of Total WRIA by HUC	1.82%	0.47%	1.35%		
	2008	0	0		
	2009	0	0		
	2010	0	0		
	2011	0	0		
	2012	0	0		
Olney Creek	2013	0	0		
J	2014	0	0	0	5
	2015	0	0		
	2016	0	0		
	2017 2018	0	0		
	Total	0	0		
Percent of Total WRIA by HUC		0.00%	0.00%		
	2008	1	8		
	2009	1	7		
	2010	0	3		
	2011	0	1		
	2012	0	1		
Wallace River	2013	0	0		
Transce Hive	2014	0	0	182	272
	2015	3	2		
	2016	0	8		
	2017	1	3		
	2018	4	0		
Percent of Total WRIA by HUC	Total	0.36%	1.20%		
	1.57%	3.5576	2.2070		

Upper Sultan River	2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0	2
Percent of Total WRIA by HUC	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
Lower North Fork Skykomish River	2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 4 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 0	0	70
Percent of Total WRIA by HUC	0.29%	0.00%	0.29%		
Lower South Fork Skykomish	2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 12 2 0 1 0 2 0 2 0 0	0	96
Percent of Total WRIA by HUC	0.77%	0.00%	0.77%		
	2008 2009 2010 2011	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0		

	2012	0	0		
Middle North Fork Skykomish	2013	0	0		
River	2014	0	0	0	45
	2015	0	0	U	45
	2016	0	0		
	2017	0	0		
	2018	0	0		
	Total	0	0		
Percent of Total WRIA by HUC	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		
	2008	250	209		
	2009	100	108		
	2010	72	63		
	2011	108	88		
	2012	92	39		
Total for WRIA 7 by year	2013	178	33		
	2014	128	93		
	2015	149	103		
	2016	196	120		
	2017	177	132		
	2018	158	144		
Total for WRIA 7	2740	1608	1132	7648	6346
Percent	100.00%	58.69%	41.31%	54.65%	45.35%

## WRIA 7 HU Growth

# **Forecast Options:**

Average Annual Increase 249

	SCENARIOS	Total	Public Water Areas	HUs within P- E Well Areas
	20 year projection - "past			
1	trends"	4982	2924	2058
	20-year projection - "Comp			
2	Plan"	3536	2075	1461
	20-year projection - OFM			
3	High (2012 data)	6590	3867	2723
4	2019 Capacity	13994	7648	6346

	Under count new well
Assumptions:	potential or over count?
Includes vacant parcels of .5 acre or larger in rural and resource areas; including private forest lands (based on requirements for accomodating the home, well and septic system)	under count - some smaller parcels may have new wells
Includes vacant and underdeveloped parcels that are large enough to subdivide given the underlying	
zoning (ex. One house on twenty acres in an R-5 zone)	neutral
Assumes that all subdivisions will use the Rural Cluster option (greatest capacity option if eligible for	
density bonus)	slight over count
Uses capacity analysis conducted in 2011 adjusted for new growth 2012-2018 (parcel based future capacity). This analysis excludes known critical areas from developable land base. There are unknown	slight over sowet
critical areas where further development may be restricted thereby reducing capacity.	slight over count
Exclusion Areas (assumes 0 new P-E wells in the following areas):	
Cities - urban level services, most will be on public water	minimal under count potential
Unicorporated UGAs - urban level of services, most will be on public water. Since charging \$500 for	
new wells, zero have been located within UGA.	minimal under count potential
Govt property and parks - used for govt purposes and very unlikely to convert to other uses; with possible exception of school properties - slightly higher risk of conversion to non-govt property.	minimal under count potential
Excludes state and national forest lands - state agency lands may be sold to private holdings. (Note: Does not exclude private forest lands)	minimal under count potential
Public Water Service Areas (assumes new HU will hook to public water):	
Sfr parcels within 100 feet of an existing water line - rural parcels are often large, (ex. one square acre is 208'x208'). 100' is the proposed requirement in the county's draft water code.	this could go either way - extensions are known to occur at much greater distance; or water provider may not approve connection due to system issues.

Subdividable parcels within 1/4 mile of water lines - county code requires that RCS hook up to existing water system if within 1/4 mile of the system and the provider approves the hook-up. There will be some that do not hook up due to system delivery issues by the provider. No current data to test this assumption due to vesting timelines for RCS.	Most likely an under count of new wells; the providers may decline to provide service.			
P-E Well Areas (assumes HU that will rely on new PE well):				
Rural and resource lands (ag and private forest lands included) outside of the exclusion areas and outside of the water service areas.	Over count - water service may extend further than the 100' buffer used to determine water service area.			
Parcels .5 acre and larger - this size was selected based on area and separation requirements for well, septic, house	Under count - Slightly smaller parcels could be on wells (?)			
Capacity assignments include subdivision potential of both vacant and underdeveloped lots based on current zoning. Rural zoning is very stable due to GMA requirements - very unlikely to upzone the rural areas. UGA expansion into rural areas would substantially increase capacity but at urban densities the only option is connect to public water.	potential overcount - subdivsions may not use RCS (unlikely)			

			Gro	wth Foreca	st Scenario	s - New Hor	nes			2019	Available Ca	pacity									
SNOHOMISH COUNTY WRIA 7 - HUC 12 Name	Current Trends V 2040 Comp Plan Targets			OFN	OFM High Forecast*		Water Total Service		P-E Well		Capacity Surplus or Shortfall  Current Trends Scenario -		- Capacity Surplus or Shortfall Comp Plan Targets -			Capacity Surplus or Shortfall - OFM High Forecast* -					
	Total	Water Service Areas	P-E Well Areas	Total	Water Service Areas	P-E Well Areas	Total	Water Service Areas	P-E Well Areas		Areas	eas Areas —	Total	Water Service Areas	P-E Well Areas	Total	Water Service Areas	P-E Well Areas	Total	Water Service Areas	P-E Well Areas
ittle Pilchuck River	525	236	289	373	168	205	695	313	382	2142	834	1308	1617	598	1019	1769	666	1103	1447	521	92
Quilceda Creek	302	51	251	214	36	178	399	67	332	1213	466	747	911	415	496	999	430	569	814	399	41
ower Pilchuck River	789	560	229	560	397	163	1044	741	303	2309	1488	821	1520	928	592	1749	1091	658	1265	747	51
Woods Creek	713	489	224	506	347	159	943	647	296	1904	1206	698	1191	717	474	1398	859	539	961	559	40
Fulalip Creek - Frontal Possession Sound	453	249	204	321	177	145	599	330	269	603	379	224	150	130	20	282	202	79	4	50	-4
rench Creek	416	293	124	296	208	88	551	387	164	1093	904	189	677	611	65	797	696	101	542	517	2
Snohomish River - Frontal Possession Sound	480	362	118	341	257	84	635	479	156	574	382	192	94	20	74	233	125	108	-61	-97	3
Elwell Creek - Skykomish River	149	33	116	106	23	83	197		154	593	156	437		123			133	354	396	113	
Evans Creek - Snohomish River	333	220	113	236	156	80	440		149	889	659	230					503	150	449	368	
Peoples Creek - Snoqualmie River	116	18	98	83	13	70	154	24	130	404	50	354	. 288	32	256	321	37	284	250	26	224
McCoy Creek - Skykomish River	91	24	67	65	17	48	120	31	89	297	60	237	206	36	170	232	43	189	177	29	14
Wallace River	78	18	60	55	13	43	103	24	79	454	182	272	376	164	212	399	169	229	351	158	19
Lower Sultan River	145	93	53	103	66	37	192	123	70	254	82	172	109	-11	119	151	16	135	62	-41	10
Jpper Pilchuck River	327	278	49	232	197	35	433	368	65	1012	800	212	685	522	163	780	603	177	579	432	14
ower South Fork Skykomish River	38	0	38	27	0	27	51	0	51	96	0	96	58	0	58	69	0	69	45	0	4
ower North Fork Skykomish River	15	0	15	10	0	10	19	0	19	70	0	70	55	0	55	60	0	60	51	0	5
Cherry Creek - SnoCo Portion	11	0	11	8	0	8	14	0	14	35	0	35	24	0	24	27	0	27	21	0	2
Olney Creek	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5	5	0	5	5	0	5	5	0	
Jpper Sultan River	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	. 2	0	2	2	0	2	2	0	_
Middle North Fork Skykomish River	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	45	0	45	45	0	45	45	0	45	45	0	. 4
otal WRIA 7	4981	2924	2059	3536	2075	1463	6590	3867	2723	13994	7648	6346	9013	4724	4287	10458	5573	4883	7404	3781	362

#### Excluded: (all urban or all forest)

Powder Mill Gulch - Frontal

Possession Sound

x Middle Sultan River

Upper North Fork Skykomish

x Upper Beckler River

x Lower Beckler River

x Rapid River

Upper North Fork Tolt River - Sno Co

Portio

Notes:

Growth Forecast Scencarios - New Homes - Current Trends and V Comp Plan Targets and 2019 Available Capacity prepared by Snohomish County.

Growth Forecast Scencario - OFM High Forecast prepared by GeoEngineers using population data provided by OFM in 2012. These values have not been vetted and approved by the County.

OFM High Forecast exceeds capacity in three HUCs

\*OFM High Forecast Developed by GeoEngineers

Growth Estimate Prepared by Snohomish County:

2015 Snc	ohomish Co Plan	ounty Comp	Snohomish County population	2016 County Policy Populat	•	Rural/Resource growth share by WRIA (Based on rural growth share 2008-2018)			
2011	2035	Avg. Annual increase (2011-2035)	growth forecast (Pop. Change 2018 to 2038)	Urban share 92.1%	Rural share 7.9%	WRIA 3 & 5 (33%)	WRIA 7 (62%)	WRIA 8 (5%)	
717000	955257	9927	198548	182862	15685	5176	9725	784	
New Ho	ousing Unit	s by WRIA 201	8-2038:	Avg	(Rural) HU size = 2.75)		3536	285	
Allocation of	NEW HU ba	sed on		Share in "Water Ser	Total Available HU Capacity (Sheet 1) Share in "Water Service Area" (Sheet 1) rowth Share in "P-E Well Area" (Sheet 1)			646 52% 48%	
SnoCounty N Service Areas		•	New	HU in "Water Service New HU in "P-E We	e Area" 2018- 2038 Il Area" 2018- 2038		2075 <b>1461</b>	148 <b>137</b>	

Avg rural HU size is based on adopted growth targets; based on Population and HU increase 2011 to 2035. Using the adopted OFM Medium Forecast produced in 2012

#### Growth Estimate Prepared by GeoEngineers:

2015 Snohomish County Comp Plan	OFM High Forecast	, g	Snohomish County population	2016 Countywide Planning Policy Population Allocation		Rural/Resource growth share by WRIA (Based on rural growth share 2008-2018)		
2011	2035	Avg. Annual increase (2011-2035)	growth forecast (Pop. Change 2018 to 2038)	Urban share 92.1%	Rural share 7.9%	WRIA 3 & 5 (33%)	WRIA 7 (62%)	WRIA 8 (5%)
717000	1161003	18500	370003	340772	29230	9646	18123	1462
New Housing Units by WRIA 2018-2038: (Rural							6590	531
			Total Available HU Capacity (Sheet 1)				13994	646
Growth Share in "Water Service Area" (Shee							59%	52%
Allocation of	NEW HU ba	sed on	Growth Share in "P-E Well Area" (Sheet 1)				41%	48%
SnoCounty N		•	New HU in "Water Service Area" 2018- 2038				3868	
Service Area	Service Areas" and "P-E Well Areas"			New HU in "P-E Well Area" 2018- 2038			2722	255

Avg rural HU size is based on adopted growth targets; based on Population and HU increase 2011 to 2035. Using the OFM High Forecast produced in 2012 (Note: not adopted or vetted as official forecast)

#### Growth Estimate Prepared by GeoEngineers:

0.011ti: 20ti:	nate i repare	d by deorngine						
2015 Snohomish County Comp Plan	OFM Low Forecast		Snohomish County population	2016 Countywide Planning Policy Population Allocation		Rural/Resource growth share by WRIA (Based on rural growth share 2008-2018)		
2011	2035	Avg. Annual increase (2011-2035)	growth forecast (Pop. Change 2018 to 2038)	Urban share 92.1%	Rural share 7.9%	WRIA 3 & 5 (33%)	WRIA 7 (62%)	WRIA 8 (5%)
717000	802384	3558	71153	65532	5621	1855	3485	281
New Housing Units by WRIA 2018-2038: (Rur							1267	102
			Total Available HU Capacity (Sheet 1) Growth Share in "Water Service Area" (Sheet 1)				13994 59%	52%
Allocation of	NEW HU ba	sed on	Growth Share in "P-E Well Area" (Sheet 1)				41%	48%
SnoCounty N	Nodel for like	ely "Water	New HU in "Water Service Area" 2018- 2038				748	53
Service Areas" and "P-E Well Areas"			New HU in "P-E Well Area" 2018- 2038				520	49