Agenda

- Welcome, Introductions, and Committee Business
  - WebEx logistics and technology check.
  - March meeting summary.
  - Announcements and updates.
  - Public comment.

- Consumptive Use Decision

- Projects

- Break

- Policy and Regulatory Discussion

- Local Plan Review Summary
Announcements

- **COVID-19**
  - We are still working and moving forward on the planning process.

- **Grant round**
  - Extended to April 30.

- **Meeting with WDFW**

- **Anything else?**

- **Public Comment**
Consumptive Use Estimate Decision
Workgroup Consumptive Use Recommendation

- New PE well connections: 688
- Average lot size: 0.27 acres

- Consumptive use estimate:
  - 0.38 cfs
  - 277.4 ac-ft/yr
Voting Protocol

- Spencer will ask each entity for your voice vote one at a time.
  - Only one representative from each entity will vote.
  - Make sure you are not on mute when you vote.

- Options are:
  - Yes
  - No
  - Abstain.

- Committee members are welcome to say something about your vote for the record.
Consumptive use estimate:
0.38 cfs
277.4 afy

Yes: Approve
No: Reject
Abstain
Projects

Updates from WWT
Updates from HDR Team
Washington Water Trust Update
HDR Team Update
## Alward Road Offset Estimates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project size (acres)</th>
<th>Wedge height at river = 3 ft</th>
<th>Wedge height at river = 2 ft</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subsurface storage potential (AF)</td>
<td>Water offset potential (AF/yr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>Feb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Existing Surface Water Right Period of Use</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average Surface Water Diversion (AF/Month)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assumed Groundwater Impact on Surface Water</strong></td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assumed Reduction in Summer Surface Water Diversion (AF/Month)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project Next Steps

- Work on next steps identified during the meeting.
- Compile projects into plan format.
- Focus on other aspects of the planning work while waiting for additional results.
10 Minutes
Policy and Regulatory Actions
Policy and Regulatory Considerations

- Not all ideas proposed will be included in the plan.
- Any policy and regulatory actions included in the plan will be *recommendations* and do not obligate Ecology or other entities to take action.
- Focus on policy and regulatory ideas that may have broad support, would be achievable, and help achieve plan goals.
Recommendations Across Committees

- Option to develop a common recommendation to the legislature.
- The same recommendation in multiple plans may give the recommendation more weight.
- A common recommendation would be very high level and focus on desired outcome, not “how” details.
- Individual plans may provide additional details that are specific to the WRIA.
- The facilitation team will draft language based on committee input. Language will be presented to each committee for additional input.
Policy and Regulatory Proposals

- Thank you to everyone who submitted their assignment!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal</th>
<th>Green</th>
<th>Yellow</th>
<th>Red</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allow water suppliers to augment flows during critical conditions without the quantity counting against the supplier’s water rights</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expand research on ways to make use of excess winter stream flows to increase aquifer recharge and groundwater storage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease restoration regulations in the County/local jurisdictions or reduce policy barriers to restoration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use a centralized database for tracking project implementation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build structure for plan implementation to support adaptive management</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Green = would like to include in the plan  
Yellow = not concerned about including in the plan, OR have questions  
Red = cannot support including this in the plan
## WRISA 10 Policy and Regulatory Ideas – Dot Voting – Page 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Idea</th>
<th>Green</th>
<th>Yellow</th>
<th>Red</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Update the Ecology Well Log Database</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review fees for permit-exempt and non-permit-exempt wells for equity/balance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incentivize drilling of deeper wells to protect shallow aquifers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish Incentive programs for water conservation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage smaller lot sizes (where appropriate in the UGA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Green** = would like to include in the plan
- **Yellow** = not concerned about including in the plan, OR have questions
- **Red** = cannot support including this in the plan
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Idea</th>
<th>Green</th>
<th>Yellow</th>
<th>Red</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Change the gallon per day withdrawal limit (decrease both the streamflow restoration law limit of 950 gpd and the groundwater code limit of 5,000 gpd)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhance enforcement by State staff of existing and proposed regulations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restrict the number of watering days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revise or modify the in-stream flow rules – ensure that instream flows are enforced, modify limits if needed for habitat and animal use.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Require well pumps that limit usage, need to ensure water for firefighting is available if needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metering PE wells, at least as a pilot project.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Green = would like to include in the plan*
*Yellow = not concerned about including in the plan, OR have questions*
*Red = cannot support including this in the plan*
Local Review Processes
Local Review Process

County

- Pierce County
  - Review by Community Development Committee
  - County Council resolution.

Cities

- City of Enumclaw
  - City Council concurrence to approve

- City of Edgewood
  - City Council

- City of Auburn
  - Directors of Community Development and Public Works.
  - City Engineer
Local Review Process

MBA Pierce
- Review by Legislative Strategy Committee.

WDFW
- Review by WDFW Streamflow Restoration Team.
  - Possibly other management staff.
Next Steps

- As identified throughout the meeting.
- Next full committee meeting: May 6.
- Next workgroup meeting: April 22, 1 pm- 3 pm.