Chapter 365-196 WAC

GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT-PROCEDURAL CRITERIA FOR

ADOPTING COMPREHENSIVE PLANS AND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS

Last Update: 3/29/23

Draft Copy for Informal Public Review: March 2024

PART FOUR: FEATURES OF THE COMPREHENSIVE PLAN

WAC 365-196-400 Mandatory elements - No changes proposed

WAC 365-196-405 Land use element.

- (1) Requirements. The land use element must contain the following features:
 - (a) Designation of the proposed general distribution and general location and extent of the uses of land, where appropriate, for agricultural, timber, and mineral production, for housing, commerce, industry, recreation, open spaces, public utilities, public facilities, general aviation airports, military bases, rural uses, and other land uses.
 - (b) Population densities, building intensities, and estimates of future population growth.
 - (c) Provisions for protection of the quality and quantity of ground water used for public water supplies.

- (d) Wherever possible, consideration of urban planning approaches to promote physical activity.
- (e) Where applicable, a review of drainage, flooding, and stormwater runoff in the area covered by the plan and nearby jurisdictions, and guidance for corrective actions to mitigate or cleanse those discharges that pollute waters of the state, including Puget Sound or waters entering Puget Sound.
- (2) Recommendations for meeting requirements. The land use assumptions in the land use element form the basis for all growth-related planning functions in the comprehensive plan, including transportation, housing, capital facilities, and, for counties, the rural element.

 Preparing the land use element is an iterative process. Linking all plan elements to the land use assumptions in the land use element helps meet the act's requirement for internal consistency. The following steps are recommended in preparing the land use element:
 - (a) Counties and cities should integrate relevant countywide planning policies and, where applicable, multicounty planning policies, into the local planning process, and ensure local goals and policies are consistent.
 - (b) Counties and cities should identify the existing general distribution and location of various land uses, the approximate acreage, and general range of density or intensity of existing uses.
 - (c) Counties and cities should estimate the extent to which existing buildings and housing, together with development or

redevelopment of vacant, partially used and underutilized land, can support anticipated growth over the planning period. Redevelopment of fully built properties may also be considered.

- (i) Estimation of development or redevelopment capacity may include:
 - (A) Identification of individual properties or areas likely to convert because of market pressure or because they are built below allowed densities; or
 - (B) Use of an estimated percentage of area-wide growth during the planning period anticipated to occur through redevelopment, based on likely future trends for the local area or comparable jurisdictions; or
 - (C) Some combination of (c)(i)(A) and (B) of this subsection.
- (ii) Estimates of development or redevelopment capacity should be included in a land capacity analysis as part of a countywide process described in WAC 365-196-305 and 365-196-310 or, as applicable, WAC 365-196-315.
- (d) Counties and cities should identify special characteristics and uses of the land which may influence land use or regulation. These may include:
 - (i) The location of agriculture, forest and mineral resource lands of long-term commercial significance.

- (ii) The general location of any known critical areas that limit suitability of land for development.
- (iii) Influences or threats to the quality and quantity of ground water used for public water supplies. These may be identified from information sources such as the following:
 - (A) Designated critical aquifer recharge areas that identify areas where potentially hazardous material use should be limited, or for direction on where managing development practices that influence the aquifer would be important;
 - (B) Watershed plans approved under chapter 90.82 RCW; ground water management plans approved under RCW 90.44.400; coordinated water system plans adopted under chapter 70A.100 RCW; and watershed plans adopted under chapter 90.54 RCW as outlined in RCW 90.03.386.
 - (C) Instream flow rules prepared by the department of ecology and limitations and recommendations therein that may inform land use decisions.
- (iv) Areas adjacent to general aviation airports where incompatible uses should be discouraged, as required by RCW 36.70A.510 and 36.70.547, with guidance in WAC 365-196-455.
- (v) Areas adjacent to military bases where incompatible uses should be discouraged, as required by RCW 36.70A.530 with guidance in WAC 365-196-475.

(vi) Existing or potential open space corridors within and between urban growth areas as required by RCW 36.70A.160 for recreation, wildlife habitat, trails, and connection of critical areas as defined in RCW 36.70A.030. Counties and cities may consult WAC 365-196-335 for additional information.

(vii) Where applicable, sites that are particularly well suited for industry. Counties and cities should consult WAC 365-196-310 (3)(c)(iv) for information on industrial land uses. For counties, the process described in WAC 365-196-465 and 365-196-470 may be relevant for industrial areas outside of an urban growth area.

(viii) Other features that may be relevant to this information gathering process may include view corridors, brownfield sites, national scenic areas, historic districts, or other opportunity sites, or other special characteristics which may be useful to inform future land use decisions.

(e) Surplus public property available for transfer, lease, or otherwise dispose of for a public benefit purpose, consistent with and subject to RCW 39.33.015. Any transfer of surplus public property must be consistent with the policies and land use element of the adopted comprehensive plan.

 $(\underline{\mathbf{f}}\mathbf{e})$ Counties and cities must review drainage, flooding, and stormwater runoff in the area or nearby jurisdictions and provide guidance for corrective actions to mitigate or cleanse those

Commented [JD(1]: HB 1695

discharges that pollute waters of the state, including Puget Sound or waters entering Puget Sound. Water quality information may be integrated from the following sources:

- (i) Planning and regulatory requirements of municipal stormwater general permits issued by the department of ecology that apply to the county or city.
- (ii) Local waters listed under Washington state's water quality assessment and any water quality concerns associated with those waters.
- $\hbox{\sc (iii) Interjuris dictional plans, such as total maximum} \\$ $\hbox{\sc daily loads.}$
- housing needs allocations for their planning area as part of a countywide process described in WAC 365-196-305(4) and 365-196-310. Using information from the housing needs analysis and housing needs allocation, identify the amount of land suitable for development at a variety of densities consistent with the number and type of residential units likely to be needed over the planning period.

 Densities and type of residential units needed should take into account what housing types can serve housing needs at different potential income levels as described in WAC 365-196-410(2). At a minimum, cities must plan for the population and housing needs allocated to them, but may plan for additional growth population within incorporated areas.

Commented [JD(2]: HB 1220

- $(\underline{\mathbf{h}}\underline{\mathbf{g}})$ Counties and cities should estimate the level of commercial space, and industrial land needed using information from the economic development element, if available, or from other relevant economic development plans.
- (<u>i</u>h) Counties and cities should identify the general location and estimated quantity of land needed for public purposes such as utility corridors, landfills or solid waste transfer stations, sewage treatment facilities, stormwater management facilities, recreation, schools, and other public uses. Counties and cities should consider corridors needed for transportation including automobile, rail, and trail use in and between planning areas, consistent with the transportation element and coordinate with adjacent jurisdictions for connectivity.
- $(\mathbf{j}\pm)$ Counties and cities should select land use designations and implement zoning. Select appropriate commercial, industrial, and residential densities and their distribution based on the total analysis of land features, population and housing needs allocation to be supported, implementation of regional planning strategies, and needed capital facilities.
 - (i) It is strongly recommended that a table be included showing the acreage in each land use designation, the acreage in each implementing zone, the approximate densities that are assumed, and how this meets the 20-year population and housing needs projection.

Commented [JD(3]: HB 1220

Commented [JD(4]: HB 1220

- (ii) Counties and cities should prepare a future land use map including land use designations, municipal and urban growth area boundaries, and any other relevant features consistent with other elements of the comprehensive plan.
- $(\underline{k}\dot{\underline{j}})$ Wherever possible, counties and cities should consider urban planning approaches that promote physical activity. Urban planning approaches that promote physical activity may include:
 - (i) Higher intensity residential or mixed-use land use designations to support walkable and diverse urban, town and neighborhood centers.
 - (ii) Transit-oriented districts around public transportation transfer facilities, rail stations, or higher intensity development along a corridor served by high quality transit service.
 - (iii) Policies for siting or colocating public facilities such as schools, parks, libraries, community centers and athletic centers to place them within walking or cycling distance of their users.
 - (iv) Policies supporting linear parks and shared-use paths, interconnected street networks or other urban forms supporting bicycle and pedestrian transportation.
 - (v) Policies supporting multimodal approaches to concurrency consistent with other elements of the plan.

- (vi) Traditional or main street commercial corridors with street front buildings and limited parking and driveway interruption.
- (vii) Opportunities for promoting physical activity through these and other policies should be sought in existing as well as newly developing areas. Regulatory or policy barriers to promoting physical activity for new or existing development should also be removed or lessened where feasible.
- $(\underline{1}*)$ Counties and cities may prepare an implementation strategy describing the steps needed to accomplish the vision and the densities and distributions identified in the land use element. Where greater intensity of development is proposed, the strategy may include a design scheme to encourage new development that is compatible with existing or desired community character.
- (<u>m</u>±) Counties and cities may prepare a schedule for the phasing of the planned development contemplated consistent with the availability of capital facilities as provided in the capital facilities element. WAC 365-196-330 provides additional information regarding development phasing.
- $(\underline{\underline{n}}\underline{\underline{m}})$ Counties and cities should reassess the land use element in light of:
 - (i) The projected capacity for financing the needed capital facilities over the planning period; and

(ii) An assessment of whether the planned densities and distribution of growth can be achieved within the capacity of available land and water resources and without environmental degradation.

[Statutory Authority: RCW 36.70A.050 and 36.70A.190. WSR 23-08-037, § 365-196-405, filed 3/29/23, effective 4/29/23; WSR 10-22-103, § 365-196-405, filed 11/2/10, effective 12/3/10; WSR 10-03-085, § 365-196-405, filed 1/19/10, effective 2/19/10.]

WAC 365-196-410 Housing element.

- (1) Requirements. Counties and cities must develop a housing element ensuring vitality and character of established residential neighborhoods. The housing element must contain at least the following features:
 - (a) An inventory and analysis of existing and projected housing needs that identifies the number of housing units necessary to manage projected growth, as provided by the Department, including units for moderate, low, very low, and extremely low-income households, and emergency housing, emergency shelters, and permanent supportive housing. The analysis of emergency housing and emergency shelters may be grouped together in the housing element.
 - (b) A statement of the goals, policies, and objectives for the preservation, improvement, and development of housing, including single-family residences, and within an urban growth area boundary,

moderate density housing options including, but not limited to, duplexes, triplexes, and townhomes.

- (c) Identification of sufficient land for housing including, but not limited to, government-assisted housing, housing for moderate,

 low, very low, and extremely low-income households families,

 manufactured housing, multifamily housing, group homes, and foster care facilities, emergency housing, emergency shelters, permanent supportive housing, and within an urban growth area boundary, consideration of duplexes, triplexes, and townhomes.
- (d) Adequate provisions for existing and projected housing needs of all economic segments of the community county or city including:
 - (i) Incorporating consideration for low, very low, extremely low, and moderate-income households;
 - (ii) Documenting programs and actions needed to achieve
 housing availability including gaps in local funding, barriers
 such as development regulations, and other limitations;
 - (iii) Consideration of housing locations in relation to employment location; and
 - (iv) Consideration of the role of accessory dwelling units in meeting housing needs.
- (e) Identification of local policies and regulations that result in racially disparate impacts, displacement, and exclusion in housing, including zoning that may have a discriminatory effect, disinvestment, and infrastructure availability.

- (f) Identification and implementation of policies and regulations to address and begin to undo racially disparate impacts, displacement, and exclusion in housing caused by local policies, plans, and actions.
- (g) Identification of areas that may be at higher risk of
 displacement from market forces that occur with changes to zoning
 development regulations and capital investments.
- (h) Establishment of anti-displacement policies, with consideration given to the preservation of historical and cultural communities as well as investments in low, very low, extremely low, and moderate-income housing; equitable development initiatives; inclusionary zoning; community planning requirements; tenant protections; land disposition policies; and consideration of land that may be used for affordable housing.
- (2) Recommendations for meeting requirements. The housing element shows how a county or city will accommodate anticipated growth and the local allocated share of countywide housing needs of all economic segments (low, very low, extremely low, and moderate-income housing, permanent supportive housing, and emergency housing/shelters), provide a variety of housing types at a variety of densities, provide opportunities for identify barriers to affordable housing for all economic segments of the county or city community and actions to address gaps in housing availability, and ensure the vitality of established residential

neighborhoods, and address racially disparate impacts and displacement in housing. The following components should appear in the housing element:

- (a) Housing goals and policies.
- (i) The goals and policies serve as a guide to the creation and adoption of development regulations and may also guide the exercise of discretion in the permitting process.
- (ii) The housing goals and policies of counties and cities should be consistent with countywide planning policies and, where applicable, multicounty planning policies.
- (iii) Housing goals and policies should address at least
 the following:

(B) Preservation of neighborhood character; and

- ($\overline{\mathbf{E}}$) Provision of a variety of housing types along with a variety of densities,
- (C) Preservation of existing housing stock, especially affordable housing,
- (D) Consideration of character of established residential neighborhoods,
 - (E) Improvement and development of housing, and
- (F) Within urban growth areas, provision of moderate density housing options including, but not limited to, duplexes, triplexes, and townhomes.

- (iv) Housing goals and policies should be written to allow the evaluation of progress toward achieving the housing element's goals and policies.
- (b) Housing inventory.
- (i) The purpose of the required inventory is to gauge the availability of existing housing for all economic segments of the community county or city.
- (ii) The inventory should identify the amount of various types of housing that exist in a <u>community_county or city</u>. The act does not require that a housing inventory be in a specific form. Counties and cities should consider WAC 365-196-050 (3) and (4) when determining how to meet the housing inventory requirement and may rely on existing data.
- (iii) The housing inventory should may show the affordability of different types of housing, based on available. It may provide data about the median sales prices of homes and average rental prices. Indoor emergency housing that is administered through a lease or occupancy agreement and permanent supportive housing are determined to be affordable housing under RCW 36.130.020.
- (iv) The housing inventory may include information about other types of housing available within the county or city jurisdiction such as:

- (A) The number of beds available in group homes, nursing homes and/or assisted living facilities;
- (B) The number of dwelling units available specifically for senior citizens;
- (C) The number of government-assisted housing units for lower-income households—; and

(D) The number of units of permanent supportive housing and indoor emergency shelter and housing.

- (c) Housing needs analysis.
- (i) The purpose of the needs analysis is to estimate the type and densities of future housing needed to serve all economic segments of the community county or city. The housing needs analysis should compare the number of housing units identified in the housing inventory by income level to the projected growth or other locally identified housing needs the local allocation of countywide housing needs by income level to determine the total number of necessary units.
- (ii) Counties and cities should determine housing needs The definition of housing needs should be addressed—in a regional context and base projections on the local share of countywide housing needs provided by the Department. and may use existing data.
- (iii) Counties should determine the local share of countywide housing needs by income level by coordinating with

Commented [JD(5]: HB 1220

its cities and towns based on applicable countywide planning policies and multicounty planning policies, the availability of infrastructure and services, and other locally determined factors. When allocating projected housing needs, counties and cities should use the following minimum standards:

- (A) The housing needs for each income level, permanent supportive housing and emergency housing must be consistently derived from the same target population.
- (B) Allocations must be consistent with relevant countywide planning policies or multicounty planning policies.
- (C) The sum of all allocated housing needs must be no less than the total projected countywide need for each income level, permanent supportive housing and emergency housing. Within city limits, cities may choose to plan for more than the allocated housing target if it is supported by necessary infrastructure.
- (D) Counties and cities allocation of projected housing needs by income level, permanent supportive housing and emergency housing must be documented and used consistently in their comprehensive plan.
- (iv) Counties and cities should analyze housing data by race to determine if there is evidence of racially disparate

impacts, displacement and exclusion in housing, as well as identify areas at higher risk of displacement.

- (d) Housing targets or capacity.
- (i) The housing needs analysis should identify the number and types, and general densities of new housing units needed to serve the local allocation of housing needs by income level the projected growth and the income ranges within it.
- (ii) To determine the number, types, and general densities needed, counties and cities should identify the types and densities of different housing types that will serve respective income levels. For example, larger single family housing will likely serve households above moderate income levels (>120% of Area Median Income) and could possibly serve moderate income level households (80-120% AMI), therefore counties and cities should assume that capacity for those income levels are met by the residential capacity of zones where this is the predominant housing type constructed.
- (iii) Counties and cities should use the number, types and densities of housing needed in the housing needs analysis This should be used to designate sufficient land capacity suitable for development in the land use element. Counties and cities may address accommodating capacity for lower income housing needs by:

- (A) Counting capacity for accessory dwelling units.

 Counties and cities should only assume a portion of residential parcels will develop an accessory dwelling unit within the planning period, based on market trends, infrastructure constraints, and existing homeownership association covenants.
- (B) Increasing variety of housing types allowed, increasing densities, and reducing parking requirements.
- (C) Developing local incentives for more affordable
 housing, such as density bonuses, tax exemptions like the
 multifamily property tax exemption program, or fee or
 impact waivers for affordable housing. Counties and cities
 may also require affordable housing with market rate
 housing.
- (ivi) Counties and cities may also use a variety of other considerations to identify appropriate housing types, densities, and location of housing to accommodate housing needs, including which may include:
 - (A) Location of low-income housing, Workforce housing which is often defined as housing affordable to households earning below between 80 to 120 percent of the median household income, in proximity to jobs, transportation, services and infrastructure.

- (B) Jobs-to-housing balance, which is the number of jobs in a city or county or city relative to the number of housing units.
- (C) The location of infrastructure and the costs to upgrade or extend needed infrastructure.
- (D) Housing policies and regulations that have led to racially disparate impacts, exclusion, displacement, or displacement risk.
- $(\underline{\mathbf{E}} \ominus)$ Reasonable measures to address inconsistencies found in buildable lands reports prepared under RCW 36.70A.215.
- $(\underline{\mathbf{F}}\overline{\theta})$ Housing needed to address an observed pattern of a larger quantity of second homes in destination communities.
- (v) To demonstrate sufficient land capacity to meet the 20-year housing needs allocation, counties and cities should:
 - (A) Document their calculations for determining residential capacity in each zone.
- (B) Include a table showing the total capacity of housing units by income level compared to the 20-year housing needs allocation by income level; and
- (C) Include a summary of the zoning changes needed to provide a sufficient capacity of housing at all income levels to illustrate changes needed to address deficiencies.

- $(\pm i \pm i \pm v \pm i)$ The housing needs by income level targets established in the housing element will serve as benchmarks to evaluate progress and guide decisions regarding development regulations.
- (e) Adequate provisions Affordable housing. RCW 36.70A.070 requires counties and cities, in their housing element, to make adequate provisions for existing and projected needs for all economic segments of the community county or city. As detailed in RCW 36.70A.070(2)(a), all economic segments must include moderate, low, very low, and extremely low-income households and emergency housing, emergency shelter, and permanent supportive housing needs.
- (i) (i) When dDetermining what housing units are affordable, consider:
- (A) In the case of dwelling units for sale, affordable housing has mortgages, amortization, taxes, insurance and condominium or association fees, if any, that consume no more than 30 percent of the owner's gross annual household income.
- (B) In the case of dwelling units for rent, affordable housing has rent and utility costs, as defined by the county or city, that cost no more than 30 percent of the tenant's gross annual household income.
- (C) Income ranges used when considering affordability. When planning for affordable housing, counties or cities should use income ranges consistent with the applicable countywide or multicounty planning policies. If no such terms exist, counties or cities should <u>use consider</u> using the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

county median family income. definitions found in 24 C.F.R. 91.5, which are used to draft consolidated planning documents required by HUD. The following definitions are from 24 C.F.R. 91.5:

- (I) Median income refers to median household income.
- (II) Extremely low-income refers to a household whose income is at or below 30 percent of the median income, adjusted for household size, for the county where the housing unit is located.
- (III) Low income refers to a household whose income is between 30 percent and 50 percent of the median income, adjusted for household size, for the county where the housing unit is located.
- (IV) Moderate-income refers to a household whose income is between 50 percent and 80 percent of the median income where the housing unit is
- (V) Middle-income refers to a household whose income is between 80 percent and 95 percent of the median income for the area where the housing
- (ii) Adequate housing for all economic segments within the county or city Affordable housing requires planning from a regional perspective.

 Countywide planning policies must address affordable housing affordable to all economic segments and its distribution among counties and cities.

 These countywide planning policies should include consideration of the distribution of housing needs to begin to undo racially disparate impacts, exclusion and displacement.

- (iii) To make adequate provisions, counties and cities should

 identify market barriers Counties and cities should consider the ability

 of the market to address housing needs for all economic segments of the

 population, along with, but not limited to, development regulations,

 process obstacles, and funding gaps. Counties and cities should document

 the programs and actions needed to achieve housing availability, including

 the removal of the identified barriers, through changes to development

 regulations, processes, and local funding opportunities.
- (iv) Examples of development regulation barriers include, but are not limited to, unclear or inconsistent development regulations, prohibiting a variety of more affordable housing types, high lot sizes, low maximum densities or building heights, large setbacks, and high off-street parking requirements.
- (v) Examples of process barriers include, but are not limited to, conditional use permit processes; lengthy or cumbersome design review; lack of clear information on processes and fess; high permitting, impact or utility connection fees; and high permitting processing times.
- (vi) Examples of local funding opportunities include, but are not limited to, housing and related services sales tax, affordable housing property tax levy, Real Estate Excise Taxes, donating surplus lands for affordable housing projects, impact fee waivers for affordable housing projects in compliance with RCW 39.33.015, and the multi-family property tax exemption program.may help to address affordable housing by

identifying and removing any regulatory barriers limiting the availability of affordable housing.

(iv) Counties and cities may help to address affordable housing needs by increasing development capacity. In such an event, a county or city affordable housing section should:

(A) Identify certain land use designations within a geographic area where increased residential development may help achieve affordable housing policies and targets;

(B) As needed, identify policies and subsequent development regulations that may increase residential development capacity;

(C) Determine the number of additional housing units these policies and development regulations may generate; and

(D) Establish a target that represents the minimum amount of affordable housing units that it seeks to generate.

(vii) The actions to address housing availability and barriers to housing production serve as benchmarks to evaluate progress and guide decisions regarding development regulations.

(viii) When planning for all economic segments through housing capacity or adequate provisions, counties and cities should consider housing locations in relation to employment. This includes consideration of higher densities and capacities in proximity to employment centers, the location of housing in relation to public transit to employment centers, and consideration of the types of housing that local employees can afford.

- (ix) Accessory dwelling units can help meet local housing needs.

 Counties and cities should consider the kinds of accessory dwelling units most likely to develop in their county or city, how the units will be used, anticipated capacity to accommodate project needs, and the barriers to developing the units.
- (f) Racially disparate impacts, displacement, exclusion and displacement risk.
- (i) To identify and remove those policies and regulations that create and perpetuate inequitable housing outcomes, the Department recommends counties and cities:
- (A) Engage with the community. Identify the communities that may be experiencing disparate impacts, exclusion or displacement, specifically communities that identify as Black, Indigenous and people of color (BIPOC), and developing a program of community engagement to support your analysis and assessment of racially disparate impacts in your existing policies and regulations.
- (B) Gather and analyze data. Analyze data to assess racially disparate impacts, displacement and exclusion in housing, and identify areas at risk of displacement. Analyze data by race and/or ethnicity in connection with the housing needs analysis. Counties and cities should engage the community to help interpret the findings from the analysis and provide greater insight into the factors that may cause racially disparate impacts in local housing policies or regulations.

- (C) Evaluate policies. Based on information from (A) and (B) above, review existing housing policies and identify changes or new policies and regulations to address and begin to undo the inequitable housing.
- (D) Revise policies. Revise existing policies to reduce and undo the disparate impacts, displacement and exclusion, and develop policies to prevent displacement.
- (E) Review and update regulations. Review and update regulations to achieve the goals and policies of the housing element in (D) above.
- (ii) A variety of policy and regulatory solutions are available to counties and cities to address racially disparate impacts, exclusion and displacement, including:
- (A) Increasing affordable housing production through the generation of revenue for affordable housing or encouraging more affordable housing production through options such as, but not limited to, affordable housing incentive programs, density bonuses, zoning reforms, tax incentives, and fee waiver programs.
- (B) Preservation of existing affordable housing through programs or policies including, but not limited to, mobile home park preservation or conversation to cooperative, supporting third-party purchases of existing affordable housing, community land trusts, notice of intent to sell ordinances, and regulating short-term rentals.
- (C) Protecting existing communities and households through programs or policies including, but not limited to, homeownership programs, such as those that support financial assistance to low-income homeowners or home

repair and rehabilitation assistance; rental assistance; and tenant protections, such as right to return policies, rental inspection and registry programs, deferral of taxes, and tenant opportunity to purchase programs.

- (D) Ensuring benefits of investment and development are equitably distributed through programs such as community benefit agreements, support of community-led investments or monitoring of equitable outcomes.
 - (gf) Implementation plan.
- (i) The housing element shuld identify strategies designed to help meet the needs identified for all economic segments of the population within the planning area and the actions needed to remove barriers to local housing needs. It should include, but not be limited to, the following:
- (A) Consideration of the range of housing choices to be encouraged including, but not limited to, multifamily housing, mixed uses, manufactured houses, accessory dwelling units, and detached houses;
- (B) Consideration of various lot sizes and densities, and of clustering and other design configurations;
- (C) Identification of a sufficient amount of appropriately zoned land to accommodate the identified housing needs of all economic segments over the planning period; and
- (D) Evaluation of the capacity of local public and private entities and the availability of financing to produce housing to meet the identified need.

- (ii) The housing element should also address how the county or city will provide for group homes, foster care facilities, and facilities for other populations with special needs, including indoor emergency housing, shelters, and permanent supportive housing. The housing element should provide for an equitable distribution of these facilities among neighborhoods within the county or city
- (iii) The housing element should identify strategies designed to ensure the vitality and character of existing neighborhoods. It should show how growth and change will preserve or improve existing residential qualities. The housing element may not focus on one requirement (e.g., preserving existing housing) to the exclusion of the other requirements (e.g., affordable housing) in RCW 36.70A.070(2). It should explain how various needs are reconciled.
- (iv) The housing element should include provisions to monitor the performance of its housing strategy. A monitoring program may include the following:
- (A) The collection and analysis of information about the housing $\mbox{market};$
- (B) Data about the supply of developable residential building lots at various land-use densities and the supply of rental and for-sale housing at various price levels;
- (C) A comparison of actual housing development to the targets, policies and goals contained in the housing element;

- (D) Identification of thresholds at which steps should be taken to adjust and revise goals and policies; and
- (E) A description of the types of adjustments and revisions that the county or city may consider.
- (h) Coordination with review and evaluation reports. For counties and cities subject to the buildable lands review and evaluation report requirements of RCW 36.70A.215, any revision of the housing element shall include consideration of prior buildable lands reports and any reasonable measures identified.

[Statutory Authority: RCW 36.70A.050 and 36.70A.190. WSR 23-08-037, \$ 365-196-410, filed 3/29/23, effective 4/29/23; WSR 10-03-085, \$ 365-196-410, filed 1/19/10, effective 2/19/10.]

WAC 365-196-415 Capital facilities element.

- (1) Requirements. The capital facilities element of a comprehensive plan must contain at least the following features:
 - (a) An inventory of existing capital facilities owned by public entities, also referred to as "public facilities," showing the locations and capacities of the capital facilities;
 - (b) A forecast of the future needs for such capital facilities based on the land use element;
 - (c) The proposed locations and capacities of expanded or new capital facilities;

- (d) At least a six-year plan that will finance such capital facilities within projected funding capacities and clearly identifies sources of public money for such purposes; and
- (e) A requirement to reassess the land use element if probable funding falls short of meeting existing needs and to ensure that the land use element, capital facilities plan element, and financing plan within the capital facilities plan element are coordinated and consistent. Park and recreation facilities shall be included in the capital facilities plan element.
- (2) Recommendations for meeting requirements.
 - (a) Inventory of existing facilities.
 - (i) Counties and cities should create an inventory of existing capital facilities showing locations and capacities, including the extent to which existing facilities have capacity available for future growth.
 - (ii) Capital facilities involved should include, at a minimum, water systems, sanitary sewer systems, stormwater facilities, reclaimed water facilities, schools, parks and recreational facilities, police and fire protection facilities.
 - (iii) Capital facilities that are needed to support other comprehensive plan elements, such as transportation, the parks and recreation or the utilities elements, may be addressed in the capital facility element or in the specific element.

(iv) Counties and cities should periodically review and update the inventory. At a minimum this review must occur as part of the periodic update required by RCW 36.70A.130(1). Counties and cities may also maintain this inventory annually in response to changes in the annual capital budget.

infrastructure availability or lack thereof may have resulted in racially disparate impacts, displacement or exclusion in housing as a result of local policies or regulations. Where the lack of infrastructure limits the ability to achieve infill development, cities required to allow middle housing must plan for adequate infrastructure, such as sewer, to allow new infill development.

- (b) Forecast of future needs.
- (i) Counties and cities should forecast needs for capital facilities during the planning period, based on the levels of service or planning assumptions selected and consistent with the growth, densities and distribution of growth anticipated in the land use element. The forecast should include reasonable assumptions about the effect of any identified system management or demand management approaches to preserve capacity or avoid the need for new facilities.
- (ii) The capital facilities element should identify all capital facilities that are planned to be provided within the planning period, including general location and capacity.

Commented [JD(6]: HB 1220, HB 1110 and HB

- (A) Counties and cities should identify those improvements that are necessary to address existing deficiencies or to preserve the ability to maintain existing capacity.
- $\hbox{(B) Counties and cities should identify those improvements} \\$ that are necessary for development.
- (C) Counties and cities may identify any other improvements desired to raise levels of services above locally adopted minimum standards, to enhance the quality of life in the community or meet other community needs not related to growth such as administrative offices, courts or jail facilities.

 Counties and cities are not required to set level of service standards for facilities that are not necessary for development. Because these facilities are not necessary for development, the failure to fund these facilities as planned would not require a reassessment of the land use element if funding falls short as required by RCW 36.70A.070 (3)(e).
- (D) Counties and cities should consider improvements to address and begin to undo racially disparate impacts, displacement or exclusion in housing caused by disinvestment or lack of infrastructure availability as detailed in RCW 36.70A.070(2)(e) and (f).
- (c) Financing plan.
- (i) The capital facilities element should include creation of at least a six-year capital facilities plan for financing capital

Commented [JD(7]: HB 1220

facilities needed within that time frame. Counties and cities should forecast projected funding capacities based on revenues available under existing laws and ordinances, followed by the identification of sources of public or private funds for which there is reasonable assurance of availability. Where the services and capital facilities are provided by other entities, these other providers should provide financial information as well. If the funding strategy relies on new or previously untapped sources of revenue, the capital facilities element should include an estimate of new funding that will be supplied. Adoption of the development regulations or other actions to secure these funding sources should be included in the implementation strategy.

(ii) The six-year plan should be updated at least biennially so financial planning remains sufficiently ahead of the present for concurrency to be evaluated. Such an update of the capital facilities element may be integrated with the county's or city's annual budget process for capital facilities.

(d) Reassessment.

(i) Counties and cities must reassess the land use element and other elements of the comprehensive plan if the probable funding falls short of meeting the need for facilities that are determined by a county or city to be necessary for development. Counties and cities should identify a mechanism to periodically evaluate the adequacy of public facilities based on adopted levels of service or other

objective standards. The evaluation should determine if a combination of existing and funded facilities are adequate to maintain or exceed adopted level of service standards.

- (ii) This evaluation must occur, at a minimum, as part of the periodic review and update required in RCW 36.70A.130 (1) and (3) and as major changes are made to the capital facilities element.
- (iii) If public facilities are inadequate, local governments must address this inadequacy. If the reassessment identifies a lack of adequate public facilities, counties and cities may use a variety of strategies including, but not limited to, the following:
 - (A) Reducing demand through demand management strategies;
 - (B) Reducing levels of service standards;
 - (C) Increasing revenue;
 - (D) Reducing the cost of the needed facilities;
 - (E) Reallocating or redirecting planned population and employment growth within or among counties or cities jurisdiction or among jurisdictions within the urban growth area to make better use of existing facilities;
 - (F) Phasing growth or adopting other measures to adjust the timing of development, if public facilities or services are lacking in the short term for a portion of the planning period;
 - (G) Revising countywide population forecasts within the allowable range, or revising the countywide employment forecast.

- (3) Relationship between the capital facilities element and the land use element.
 - (a) Providing adequate public facilities is a component of the affirmative duty created by the act for counties and cities to accommodate the growth that is selected and allocated, to provide sufficient capacity of land suitable for development, and to permit urban densities.
 - (b) The needs for capital facilities should be dictated by the land use element. The future land use map designates sufficient land use densities and intensities to accommodate the population and employment that is selected and allocated. The land uses and assumed densities identified in the land use element determine the location and timing of the need for new or expanded facilities.
 - (c) A capital facilities element includes the new and expanded facilities necessary for growth over the 20-year life of the comprehensive plan. Facilities needed for new growth, combined with needs for maintenance and rehabilitation of the existing systems and the need to address existing deficiencies constitutes the capital facilities demand.
- (4) Relationship to plans of other service providers or plans adopted by reference. A county or city should not meet their responsibility to prepare a capital facilities element by relying only on assurances of availability from other service providers. When system plans or master

plans from other service providers are adopted by reference, counties and cities should do the following:

- (a) Summarize this information within the capital facilities element;
- (b) Synthesize the information from the various providers to show that the actions, taken together, provide adequate public facilities; and
- (c) Conclude that the capital facilities element shows how the area will be provided with adequate public facilities.
- (5) Relationship between growth and provision of adequate public facilities.
 - (a) Counties and cities should identify in the capital facility element which types of facilities it considers to be necessary for development.
 - (i) Counties and cities should identify facilities as necessary for development if the need for new facilities is reasonably related to the impacts of development.
 - (ii) Capital facilities must be identified as necessary for development if a county or city imposes an impact fee as a funding strategy for those facilities.
 - (iii) In urban areas, all facilities necessary to achieve urban densities must be identified as necessary for development.
- (b) For those capital facilities deemed necessary for development, adequate public facilities may be maintained as follows:

- (i) Transportation facilities are the only facilities required to have a concurrency mechanism, although a local government may adopt a concurrency mechanism for other facilities that are deemed necessary for development. See WAC 365-196-840.
- (ii) Counties and cities should determine which capital facilities will be required as a condition of project approval, but not subject to concurrency. These may include, for example: Capital facilities required to ensure adequate water availability, capital facilities necessary to handle wastewater, and capital facilities necessary to manage stormwater.
- (iii) For capital facilities that are necessary for development, but not identified in subsection (2)(b)(ii)(A) or (B) of this section, counties and cities should set a minimum level of service standard, or provide some other objective basis for assessing the need for new facilities or capacity. This standard must be indicated as the baseline standard, below which the jurisdiction will not allow service to fall. Policies must require periodic analysis to determine if the adopted level of service is being met consistent with this section.

[Statutory Authority: RCW 36.70A.050 and 36.70A.190. WSR 23-08-037, § 365-196-415, filed 3/29/23, effective 4/29/23; WSR 15-04-039, § 365-196-415, filed 1/27/15, effective 2/27/15; WSR 10-03-085, § 365-196-415, filed 1/19/10, effective 2/19/10.]

WAC 365-196-420 Utilities element.

- (1) Requirements. The utilities element shall contain at least the following features: The general location, proposed location, and capacity of all existing and proposed utilities including, but not limited to, electrical lines, telecommunication lines, and natural gas lines.
- (2) Recommendations for meeting requirements. Counties and cities should consider the following:
 - (a) The general location and capacity of existing and proposed utility facilities should be integrated with the land use element.

 Proposed utilities are those awaiting approval when the comprehensive plan is adopted.
 - (b) In consultation with serving utilities, counties and cities should prepare an analysis of the capacity needs for various utilities over the planning period, to serve the growth anticipated at the locations and densities proposed within the jurisdiction county or city's planning area. The capacity needs analysis should include consideration of comprehensive utility plans, least-cost plans, load forecasts, and other planning efforts.
 - (c) The utility element should identify the general location of utility lines and facilities required to furnish anticipated capacity needs for the planning period. This should be developed in consultation with serving utilities as a part of the process of identifying lands useful for public purposes.
 - (d) Counties and cities should evaluate whether any utilities should be identified and classified as essential public facilities,

subject in cases of siting difficulty to the separate siting process established under the comprehensive plan for such facilities.

- (e) Counties and cities should evaluate whether any utility facilities within their planning area are subject to countywide planning policies for siting public facilities of a countywide or statewide nature.
- (f) Counties and cities should include local criteria for siting utilities over the planning period, including:
 - (i) Consideration of whether a siting proposal is consistent with the locations and densities for growth as designated in the land use element.
 - (ii) Consideration of any public service obligations of the utility involved.
 - (iii) Evaluation of whether the siting decision will adversely affect the ability of the utility to provide service throughout its service area.
 - (iv) Balancing of local design considerations against articulated needs for system-wide uniformity.
- (g) Counties and cities should adopt policies that call for:
- (i) Joint use of transportation rights of way and utility corridors, where possible.
- (ii) Timely and effective notification of interested utilities about road construction, and of maintenance and upgrades of existing

roads to facilitate coordination of public and private utility trenching activities.

- (iii) Consideration of utility permit applications simultaneously with the project permit application for the project proposal requesting service and, when possible, approval of utility permits when the project permit application for the project to be served is approved.
- (iv) Municipal utilities which waive connection charges for properties owned or developed by, or on behalf of, a nonprofit organization, public development authority, housing authority, or a local agency that provides emergency shelter, transitional housing, permanent supportive housing, or other affordable housing consistent with Chapter 35.95 RCW.
- $(\pm v)$ Cooperation and collaboration between the county or city and the utility provider to develop vegetation management policies and plans for utility corridors.
 - (A) Coordination and cooperation between the county or city and the utility provider to educate the public on avoiding preventable utility conflicts through choosing proper vegetation (i.e., "Right Tree, Right Place").
 - (B) Coordination and cooperation between the county or city and the utility provider to reduce potential critical areas conflicts through the consideration of alternate utility routes, expedited vegetation management permitting, coordinated

Commented [JD(8]: HB 1220

vegetation management activities, and/or long-term vegetation management plans.

(h) Adjacent counties and cities should coordinate to ensure the consistency of each jurisdiction's utilities element and regional utility plan, and to develop a coordinated process for siting regional utility facilities in a timely manner.

[Statutory Authority: RCW 36.70A.050 and 36.70A.190. WSR 23-08-037, \S 365-196-420, filed 3/29/23, effective 4/29/23; WSR 10-03-085, \S 365-196-420, filed 1/19/10, effective 2/19/10.]

WAC 365-196-425 Rural element.

Counties must include a rural element in their comprehensive plan.

This element shall include lands that are not designated for urban growth, agriculture, forest, or mineral resources. The rural element shall permit land uses that are compatible with the rural character of such lands and provide for a variety of rural densities.

- (1) Developing a written record. When developing the rural element, a county may consider local circumstances in establishing patterns of rural densities and uses, but must develop a written record explaining how the rural element harmonizes the planning goals in the act and meets the requirements of the act. This record should document local circumstances the county considered and the historic patterns of development in the rural areas.
 - (2) Establishing a definition of rural character.

- (a) The rural element shall include measures that apply to rural development and protect rural character. Counties must define rural character to guide the development of the rural element and the implementing development regulations.
- (b) The act identifies rural character as patterns of land use and development that:
 - (i) Allow open space, the natural landscape, and vegetation to predominate over the built environment;
 - (ii) Foster traditional rural lifestyles, rural-based economies, and opportunities to both live and work in rural areas;
 - (iii) Provide visual landscapes that are traditionally found in rural areas and communities;
 - (iv) Are compatible with the use of land by wildlife and for fish and wildlife habitat;
 - (v) Reduce the inappropriate conversion of undeveloped land into sprawling, low-density development;
 - (vi) Generally do not require the extension of urban governmental services; and
 - (vii) Are consistent with protection of natural surface water flows and ground water and surface water recharge and discharge areas.
- (c) Counties should adopt a locally appropriate definition of rural character. Rural areas are diverse in visual character and in density,

across the state and across a particular county. Rural development may consist of a variety of densities and uses. It may, for example, include clustered residential development at levels consistent with the preservation of rural character. Counties should define rural development both in terms of its visual character and in terms of the density and intensity of uses. Defining rural development in this way allows the county to use its definition of rural development both in its future land use designations and in its development regulations governing rural development.

(3) Rural densities.

- (a) The rural element should provide for a variety of densities that are consistent with the pattern of development established in its definition of rural character. The rural comprehensive plan designations should be shown on the future land use map. Rural densities are a range of densities that:
 - (i) Are compatible with the primary use of land for natural resource production;
 - (ii) Do not make intensive use of the land;
 - (iii) Allow open space, the natural landscape, and vegetation to predominate over the built environment;
 - (iv) Foster traditional rural lifestyles, rural-based economies, and opportunities to both live and work in rural areas;

- (v) Provide visual landscapes that are traditionally found in rural areas and communities;
- (vi) Are compatible with the use of the land by wildlife
 and for fish and wildlife habitat;
- (vii) Reduce the inappropriate conversion of undeveloped land into sprawling, low-density development;
- (viii) Generally do not require the extension of urban governmental services;
- (ix) Are consistent with the protection of natural surface water flows and ground water and surface water recharge and discharge areas; and
- (x) Do not create urban densities in rural areas or abrogate the county's responsibility to encourage new development in urban areas.
- (b) Counties should consider the adverse impact of wildfires when establishing rural densities. Counties may reduce rural densities in areas vulnerable to wildland fires as a mitigation strategy to protect natural resource lands, critical areas, water quality, or rural character.
- (c) Counties should perform a periodic analysis of development occurring in rural areas, to determine if patterns of rural development are protecting rural character and encouraging development in urban areas. This analysis should occur along with the urban growth area review required in RCW 36.70A.130 (3)(a). The analysis may include the following:
 - (i) Patterns of development occurring in rural areas.

- (ii) The percentage of new growth occurring in rural versus urban areas.
 - (iii) Patterns of rural comprehensive plan or zoning amendments.
 - (iv) Numbers of permits issued in rural areas.
 - (v) Numbers of new approved wells and septic systems.
 - (vi) Growth in traffic levels on rural roads.
- (vii) Growth in public facilities and public services costs in rural areas.
 - (viii) Changes in rural land values and rural employment.
 - (ix) Potential build-out at the allowed rural densities.
- (x) The degree to which the growth that is occurring in the rural areas is consistent with patterns of rural land use and development established in the rural element.
- (4) Rural governmental services.
- (a) Rural governmental services are those public facilities and services historically and typically delivered at intensities usually found in rural areas, and may include the following:
 - (i) Domestic water system;
 - (ii) Fire and police protection;
 - (iii) Transportation and public transportation; and
 - (iv) Public utilities, such as electrical,

telecommunications and natural gas lines.

(b) Rural services do not include storm or sanitary sewers. Urban governmental services that pass through rural areas when connecting urban

areas do not constitute an extension of urban services into a rural area provided those public services are not provided in the rural area. Sanitary sewer service may be provided only if it:

- (i) Is necessary to protect basic public health and safety and the environment;
 - (ii) Is financially supportable at rural densities; and
 - (iii) Does not permit urban development.
- (c) When establishing levels of service in the capital facilities and transportation element, each county should establish rural levels of service, for those rural services that are necessary for development, to determine if it is providing adequate public facilities. Counties are not required to use a single level of service for the entire rural area and may establish varying levels of service for public services in different rural areas. Where private purveyors or other public entities provide rural services, counties should coordinate with them to establish and document appropriate levels of service.
- (d) Rural areas typically rely on natural systems to adequately manage stormwater and typically rely on on-site sewage systems to treat wastewater. Development in rural areas also typically relies on individual wells, exempt wells or small water systems for water. Counties should ensure the densities it establishes in rural areas do not overwhelm the ability of natural systems to provide these services without compromising either public health or the vitality of the surrounding ecosystem.

- (e) Rural road systems are not typically designed to handle large traffic volumes. Local conditions may influence varying levels of service for rural road system, and level of service standards for rural arterials should be set accordingly. Generally, level of service standards should reflect the expectation that high levels of local traffic and the associated road improvements are not usually associated with rural areas.
- (f) Levels of public services decrease, and corresponding costs increase when demand is spread over a large area. This is especially true for public safety services and both school and public transportation services. Counties should provide clear expectations to the public about the availability of rural public services. Counties should ensure the densities it establishes in rural areas do not overwhelm the capacity of rural public services.
 - (5) Innovative zoning techniques.
 - (a) Innovative zoning techniques allow greater flexibility in rural development regulations to create forms of development that are more consistent with rural character than forms of development generated by conventional large-lot zoning. Innovative zoning techniques may allow forms of rural development that:
 - (i) Result in rural development that is more visually compatible with the surrounding rural areas;
 - (ii) Maximize the availability of rural land for either resource use or wildlife habitat;

- (iii) Increase the operational compatibility of the rural development with use of the land for resource production;
- (iv) Decrease the impact of the rural development on the surrounding ecosystem;
 - (v) Does not allow urban growth; and
- (b) Rural clusters. One common form of innovative zoning technique is the rural cluster. A rural cluster can create smaller individual lots than would normally be allowed in exchange for open space that preserves a significant portion of the original parcel.
 - (i) When calculating the density of development for zoning purposes, counties should calculate density based on the number of dwelling units over the entire development parcel, rather than the size of the individual lots created.
 - (ii) The open space portion of the original parcel should be held by an easement, parcel or tract for open space or resource use. This should be held in perpetuity, without an expiration date.
 - (iii) If a county allows bonus densities in a rural cluster, the resulting density after applying the bonus must be a rural density.
 - (iv) Rural clusters may not create a pattern of development that relies on or requires urban governmental services. Counties should establish a limit on the size of the residential cluster so that a cluster does not constitute urban growth in a rural area. A very

large project may create multiple smaller clusters that are separated from each other and use a different access point to avoid creating a pattern of development that would constitute urban growth.

- (v) Development regulations governing rural clusters should include design criteria that preserve rural visual character.
- (6) Limited areas of more intense rural development. The act allows counties to plan for isolated pockets of more intense development in the rural area. These are referred to in the act as limited areas of more intense rural development or LAMIRDs.
 - (a) LAMIRDs serve the following purposes:
 - (i) To recognize existing areas of more intense rural development and to minimize and contain these areas to prevent low density sprawl;
 - (ii) To allow for small-scale commercial uses that rely on a rural location;
 - (iii) To allow for small-scale economic development and employment consistent with rural character; and
 - (iv) To allow for redevelopment of existing industrial areas within rural areas.
- (b) An existing area or existing use is one that was in existence on the date the county became subject to all of the provisions of the act:
 - (i) For a county initially required to fully plan under the act, on July 1, 1990.

- (ii) For a county that chooses to fully plan under the act, on the date the county adopted the resolution under RCW 36.70A.040(2).
- (iii) For a county that becomes subject to all of the requirements of the act under RCW 36.70A.040(5), on the date the office of financial management certifies the county's population.
- (c) Counties may allow for more intensive uses in a LAMIRD than would otherwise be allowed in rural areas and may allow public facilities and services that are appropriate and necessary to serve LAMIRDs subject to the following requirements:
 - (i) Type 1 LAMIRDs Isolated areas of existing more intense development. Within these areas, rural development consists of infill, development, or redevelopment of existing areas. These areas may include a variety of uses including commercial, industrial, residential, or mixed-use areas. These may be also characterized as shoreline development, villages, hamlets, rural activity centers, or crossroads developments.
 - (A) Development or redevelopment in LAMIRDs may be both allowed and encouraged if it is consistent with local character and if existing providers of public facilities and public services confirm there is sufficient capacity of existing public facilities and public services to serve new or additional demand. provided it is consistent with the character of the existing LAMIRD in terms of building size, scale, use, and intensity. Counties may allow new uses of property within a

Commented [JD(9]: SB 5275

LAMIRD, including development of vacant land. Any commercial development or redevelopment within a mixed use area must be principally designed to serve the existing and projected rural population and must meet the following requirements:

- (I) Any included retail or food service space must not exceed the footprint of previously occupied space or 5,000 square feet, whichever is greater, for the same or similar use; and
- (II) Any included retail or food service space must not exceed 2,500 square feet for a new use;
- (B) When establishing a Type I LAMIRD, counties must establish a logical outer boundary. The purpose of the logical outer boundary is to minimize and contain the areas of more intensive rural development to the existing areas. Uses, densities or intensities not normally allowed in a rural area may be allowed inside the logical outer boundary consistent with the existing character of the LAMIRD. Appropriate and necessary levels of public facilities and services not otherwise provided in rural areas may be provided inside the logical outer boundary.
- (C) The logical outer boundary must be delineated primarily by the built environment as it existed on the date the county became subject to the planning requirements of the act.

- (I) Some vacant land may be included within the logical outer boundary provided it is limited and does not create a significant amount of new development within the LAMIRD.
- (II) Construction that defines the built environment may include above or below ground improvements. The built environment does not include patterns of vesting or preexisting zoning, nor does it include roads, clearing, grading, or the inclusion within a sewer or water service area if no physical improvements are in place. Although vested lots and structures built after the county became subject to the act's requirements should not be considered when identifying the built environment, they may be included within the logical outer boundary as infill.
- (III) The logical outer boundary is not required to strictly follow parcel boundaries. If a large parcel contains an existing structure, a county may include part of the parcel in the LAMIRD boundary without including the entire parcel, to avoid a significant increase in the amount of development allowed within the LAMIRD.
- (D) The fundamental purpose of the logical outer boundary is to minimize and contain the LAMIRD. Counties should favor the configuration that best minimizes and contains the LAMIRD to the area of existing development as of the date the county became

subject to the planning requirements of the act. When evaluating alternative configurations of the logical outer boundary, counties should determine how much new growth will occur at build out and determine if this level of new growth is consistent with rural character and can be accommodated with the appropriate level of public facilities and public services. Counties should use the following criteria to evaluate various configurations when establishing the logical outer boundary:

- (I) The need to preserve the character of existing natural neighborhoods and communities;
- (II) Physical boundaries such as bodies of water, streets and highways, and land forms and contours;
- (III) The prevention of abnormally irregular boundaries; and
- (IV) The ability to provide public facilities and public services in a manner that does not permit low-density sprawl.
- (E) Once a logical outer boundary has been adopted, counties may consider changes to the boundary in subsequent amendments. When doing so, the county must use the same criteria used when originally designating the boundary. Counties should avoid adding new undeveloped parcels as infill, especially if doing so would add to the capacity of the LAMIRD.

- (ii) Type 2 LAMIRDs Small-scale recreational uses.

 Counties may allow small-scale tourist or recreational uses in rural areas. Small-scale recreational or tourist uses rely on a rural location and setting and need not be principally designed to serve the existing and projected rural population.
- (A) Counties may allow small-scale tourist or recreational uses through redevelopment of an existing site, intensification of an existing site, or new development on a previously undeveloped site, but not new residential development. Counties may allow public services and facilities that are limited to those necessary to serve the recreation or tourist uses and that do not permit low-density sprawl. Small-scale recreational or tourist uses may be added as accessory uses for resource-based industry. For accessory uses on agricultural lands of long-term commercial significance, see WAC 365-196-815.
- (B) Counties are not required to designate Type 2 LAMIRDS on the future land use map and may allow them as a conditional use. If using a conditional use process, counties should include in their development regulations conditions that address all the statutory criteria for the location of a Type 2 LAMIRD.

 Conditions must assure that Type 2 LAMIRDs:

- (I) Are isolated, both from urban areas and from each other. Conditions should include spacing criteria to avoid creating a pattern of strip development;
 - (II) Are small in scale;
 - (III) Are consistent with rural character;
 - (IV) Rely on a rural location or a natural setting;
 - (V) Do not include new residential development;
- (VI) Do not require services and facilities beyond what is available in the rural area; and
- (VII) Are operationally compatible with surrounding resource-based industries.
- (iii) Type 3 LAMIRDs Small-scale businesses and cottage industries. Counties may allow isolated small-scale businesses and cottage industries that are not principally designed to serve the existing and projected rural population and nonresidential uses, but do provide job opportunities for rural residents, through the intensification of development on existing lots or on undeveloped sites.
- (A) Counties may allow the expansion of small-scale businesses in rural areas as long as those small-scale businesses are consistent with the rural character of the area as defined by the county in the rural element. Counties may also allow new small-scale businesses to use a site previously occupied by an existing business as long as the new small-scale business conforms to the rural character of the area. Any public services

and public facilities provided to the cottage industry or small-scale business must be limited to those necessary to serve the isolated nonresidential use and shall be provided in a manner that does not permit low-density sprawl.

- (B) Counties are not required to designate Type 3 LAMIRDs on the future land use map and may allow them as a conditional use. If using a conditional use process, counties should include in their development regulations conditions that address all the statutory criteria for the location of a Type 3 LAMIRD. Conditions must assure that Type 3 LAMIRDs:
- (I) Are isolated, both from urban areas and from each other.Conditions should include spacing criteria to avoid creating a pattern of strip development;
 - (II) Are small in scale;
 - (III) Are consistent with rural character;
 - (IV) Do not include new residential development;
- (V) Do not require public services and facilities beyond what is available in the rural area; and
- (VI) Are operationally compatible with surrounding resource-based industries.
- (d) The initial effective date of an action that creates or expands a limited area of more intense development is the latest of the following dates per RCW 36.70A.067:

Commented [JD(10]: SB 5042 (vesting

- (i) Sixty (60) days after the publication of notice of adoption of the comprehensive plan, development regulation, or amendment to the plan or regulation, implementing the action, as provided under RCW 36.70A.290(2); or
- (ii) If a petition for review to the growth management hearings board is timely filed, upon issuance of the board's final order.
- (de) Major industrial developments and master planned resorts governed by other requirements. Counties may not use the provisions of RCW 36.70A.070 (5)(d)(iii) to permit a major industrial development or a master planned resort. These types of development must comply with the requirements of RCW 36.70A.360 through 36.70A.368. For more information about major industrial developments, see WAC 365-196-465. For more information about master planned resorts, see WAC 365-196-460.

 [Statutory Authority: RCW 36.70A.050 and 36.70A.190. WSR 23-08-037, § 365-196-425, filed 3/29/23, effective 4/29/23; WSR 15-04-039, § 365-196-425, filed 1/27/15, effective 2/27/15; WSR 10-22-103, § 365-196-425, filed 1/27/10, effective 12/3/10; WSR 10-03-085, § 365-196-425, filed 1/19/10, effective 2/19/10.1

WAC 365-196-430 Transportation element.

(1) Requirements. Each comprehensive plan shall include a transportation element that implements, and is consistent with, the land use element. The transportation element shall contain at least the following subelements:

- (a) Land use assumptions used in estimating travel;
- (b) Estimated traffic impacts to state-owned transportation facilities resulting from land use assumptions to assist the department of transportation in monitoring the performance of state facilities, to plan improvements for the facilities, and to assess the impact of land-use decisions on state-owned transportation facilities;
 - (c) Facilities and services needs, including:
- (i) An inventory of air, water, and ground transportation facilities and services, including transit alignments and general aviation airports facilities, to define existing capital facilities and travel levels as a basis for future planning. This inventory must include state-owned transportation facilities within the county's or city's jurisdictional boundaries;
- (ii) Level of service standards for all locally owned arterials and transit routes to serve as a gauge to judge performance of the system.

 These standards should be regionally coordinated;
- (iii) For state-owned transportation facilities, level of service standards for highways, as prescribed in chapters 47.06 and 47.80 RCW, to gauge the performance of the system. The purposes of reflecting level of service standards for state highways in the local comprehensive plan are to monitor the performance of the system, to evaluate improvement strategies, and to facilitate coordination between the county's or city's six-year street, road, or transit program and the department of transportation's 10-year investment program. The concurrency requirements

of RCW 36.70A.070 (6) (b) do not apply to transportation facilities and services of statewide significance except for counties consisting of islands whose only connection to the mainland are state highways or ferry routes. In these island counties, state highways and ferry route capacity must be a factor in meeting the concurrency requirements in RCW 36.70A.070 (6) (b);

- (iv) Specific actions and requirements for bringing into compliance locally owned transportation facilities or services that are below an established level of service standard;
- (v) Forecasts of traffic for at least 10 years based on the adopted land use plan to provide information on the location, timing, and capacity needs of future growth;
- (vi) Identification of state and local system needs to meet current and future demands. Identified needs on state-owned transportation facilities must be consistent with the statewide multimodal transportation plan required under chapter 47.06 RCW;
 - (d) Finance, including:
- (i) An analysis of funding capability to judge needs against probable funding resources;
- (ii) A multiyear financing plan based on the needs identified in the comprehensive plan, the appropriate parts of which shall serve as the basis for the six-year street, road, or transit program required by RCW 35.77.010 for cities, RCW 36.81.121 for counties, and RCW 35.58.2795 for public transportation systems. The multiyear financing plan should be

coordinated with the 10-year improvement program developed by the department of transportation as required by RCW 47.05.030;

- (iii) If probable funding falls short of meeting identified needs, a discussion of how additional funding will be raised, or how land use assumptions will be reassessed to ensure that level of service standards will be met;
- (e) Intergovernmental coordination efforts, including an assessment of the impacts of the transportation plan and land use assumptions on the transportation systems of adjacent jurisdictions;
 - (f) Demand-management strategies;
- (g) Pedestrian and bicycle component to include collaborative efforts to identify and designate planned improvements for pedestrian and bicycle facilities and corridors that address and encourage enhanced community access and promote healthy lifestyles;
- (h) The transportation element, and the six-year plan required by RCW 35.77.010 for cities, RCW 36.81.121 for counties, RCW 35.58.2795 for public transportation systems, and the 10-year plan required by RCW 47.05.030 for the state, must be consistent.
 - (2) Recommendations for meeting element requirements.
- (a) Consistency with the land use element, regional and state planning.
- (i) RCW 36.70A.070(6) requires that the transportation element implement and be consistent with the land use element. Counties and cities should use consistent land use assumptions, population forecasts, and

planning periods for both elements. Coordination of the land use and transportation elements should address how the implementation of the transportation element supports the desired land uses and form established in the land use element. Recognizing that there is a direct relationship between land use and how it is accessed.

- (ii) Counties and cities should refer to the statewide multimodal transportation plan produced by the department of transportation under chapter 47.06 RCW to ensure consistency between the transportation element and the statewide multimodal transportation plan. Local transportation elements should also reference applicable department of transportation corridor planning studies, including scenic byway corridor management plans, active transportation plans, and recreation and conservation office state trails plan.
- (iii) Counties and cities should refer to the regional transportation plan developed by their regional transportation planning organization under chapter 47.80 RCW to ensure the transportation element reflects regional guidelines and principles; is consistent with the regional transportation plan; and is consistent with adopted regional growth and transportation strategies. Considering consistency during the development and review of the transportation element will facilitate the certification of transportation elements by the regional transportation planning organization as required by RCW 47.80.023(3).
- (iv) Counties and cities should develop their transportation elements using the framework established in countywide planning policies, and where

applicable, multicounty planning policies. Using this framework ensures their transportation elements are coordinated and consistent with the comprehensive plans of other counties and cities sharing common borders or related regional issues as required by RCW 36.70A.100 and 36.70A.210.

- (v) Counties and cities should refer to the six-year transit plans developed by municipalities or regional transit authorities pursuant to RCW 35.58.2795 to ensure their transportation element is consistent with transit development plans as required by RCW 36.70A.070 (6)(c).
- (vi) Land use elements and transportation elements may incorporate commute trip reduction plans to ensure consistency between the commute trip reduction plans and the comprehensive plan as required by RCW 70A.15.4060. Counties and cities may also include transportation demand management programs for growth and transportation efficiency centers designated in accordance with RCW 70A.15.4030.
- (b) The transportation element should contain goals and policies to guide the development and implementation of the transportation element. The goals and policies should be consistent with statewide and regional goals and policies. Goals and policies should address the following:
- (i) Roadways and roadway design that provides safe access and travel for all users, including pedestrians, bicyclists, transit vehicles and riders, and motorists;
- (ii) Public transportation, including public transit and passenger rail, intermodal transfers, and access to transit stations and stops by people walking, bicycling, or transferring from another vehicle;

- (iii) Bicycle and pedestrian travel including measures of facility quality such as level of traffic stress (an indicator used to quantify the stress experienced by a cyclist or pedestrian on the segments of a road network), route directness, and network completeness;
- (iv) Transportation demand management, including education, encouragement and law enforcement strategies;
- (v) Freight mobility including port facilities, truck, air, rail, and water-based freight;
- (vi) Transportation finance including strategies for addressing impacts of development through concurrency, impact fees, and other mitigation; and
- (vii) Policies to preserve the functionality of state highways within the local jurisdiction such as policies to provide an adequate local network of streets, paths, and transit service so that local short-range trips do not require single-occupant vehicle travel on the state highway system; and policies to mitigate traffic and stormwater impacts on state-owned transportation facilities and services as development occurs.
- (c) Inventory and analysis of transportation facilities and services. RCW 36.70A.070 (6)(a)(iii)(A) requires an inventory of air, water, and ground transportation facilities and services, including transit alignments and general aviation airport facilities. The inventory should include facilities for active transportation such as bicycle and pedestrian travel. The inventory defines existing capital facilities and travel levels as a basis for future planning. The inventory must include

state-owned transportation facilities within the city's or county's jurisdictional boundaries. Counties and cities should identify transportation facilities which are owned or operated by others. For those facilities operated by others, counties and cities should refer to the responsible agencies for information concerning current and projected plans for transportation facilities and services. Counties, cities, and agencies responsible for transportation facilities and services should cooperate in identifying and resolving land use and transportation compatibility issues.

- (i) Air transportation facilities.
- (A) Where applicable, counties and cities should describe the location of facilities and services provided by any general aviation airport within or adjacent to the county or city, and should reference any relevant airport planning documents including airport master plans, airport layout plans or technical assistance materials made available by airport sponsor and in coordination with the Washington state department of transportation, aviation division.
- (B) Counties and cities should identify supporting transportation infrastructure such as roads, rail, and routes for freight, employee, and passenger access, and assess the impact to the local transportation system.
- (C) Counties and cities should assess the compatibility of land uses adjacent to the airport and discourage the siting of incompatible uses in the land use element as directed by RCW 36.70A.510 and WAC 365-196-455 and

in accordance with the best practices recommended by the Washington state department of transportation, aviation division.

- (ii) Water transportation facilities.
- (A) Where applicable, counties and cities should describe or map any ferry facilities and services, including ownership, and should reference any relevant ferry planning documents. The inventory should identify if a ferry route is subject to concurrency under RCW 36.70A.070 (6)(b). A ferry route is subject to concurrency if it serves counties consisting of islands whose only connection to the mainland are state highways or ferry routes.
- (B) Counties and cities should identify supporting infrastructure such as parking and transfer facilities, bicycle, pedestrian, and vehicle access to ferry terminals and assess the impact on the local transportation system.
- (C) Where applicable, counties and cities should describe marine and inland waterways, and related port facilities and services. Counties and cities should identify supporting transportation infrastructure, and assess the impact to the local transportation system.
 - (iii) Ground transportation facilities and services.
- (A) Roadways. Counties and cities must include a map of roadways owned or operated by city, county, and state governments.
- (I) Counties and cities may describe the general travel market (i.e., commuter, tourist, farm to market, etc.) served by the transportation network. The inventory may include information such as: Traffic volumes,

truck volumes and classification, functional classification, strategic freight corridor designation, preferred freight routes, scenic and recreational highway designation, high occupancy vehicle lanes, business access and transit lanes, transit queue jumps, other transit priority features, bicycle facilities, sidewalks, and ownership.

- (II) For state highways, counties and cities should coordinate with the regional office of the Washington state department of transportation to identify designated high occupancy vehicle or high occupancy toll lanes, access classification, roadside classification, functional classification, and whether the highway is a state-designated highway of statewide significance, or state scenic and recreational highway designated under chapter 47.39 RCW. These designations may impact future development along state highway corridors. If these classifications impact future land use, this information should be included in the comprehensive plan along with reference to any relevant corridor planning documents.
 - (B) Public transportation and rail facilities and services.
- (I) RCW 36.70A.070 (6)(a)(iii)(A) requires an inventory of transit alignments. Where applicable, counties and cities must inventory existing public transportation facilities and services. This section should reference transit development plans that provide local services. The inventory should contain a description of regional and intercity rail, and local, regional, and intercity bus service, paratransit, or other services. Counties and cities should include a map of local transit routes. The map should categorize routes by frequency and span of service.

The inventory should also identify locations of passenger rail stations and major public transit transfer stations for appropriate land use.

- (II) Where applicable, such as where a major freight transfer facility is located, counties and cities should include a map of existing freight rail lines, and reference any relevant planning documents.

 Counties and cities should assess the adequacy of supporting transportation infrastructure such as roads, rail, and navigational routes for freight, employee, and passenger access, and the impact on the local transportation system.
- (d) If the planning area is within a National Ambient Air Quality Standards nonattainment area, compliance with the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 is required. Where applicable, the transportation element should include: A map of the area designated as the nonattainment area for ozone, carbon monoxide, and particulate matter (PM10 and PM2.5); a discussion of the severity of the violation(s) contributed by transportation-related sources; and a description of measures that will be implemented consistent with the state implementation plan for air quality. Counties and cities should refer to chapter 173-420 WAC, and to local air quality agencies and metropolitan planning organizations for assistance.
- (e) Level of service standards. Level of service standards serve to monitor the performance of the system, to evaluate improvement strategies, and to facilitate coordination between city, county and state transportation investment programs.

- (i) RCW 36.70A.070 (6) (a) (iii) (B) requires the transportation element to include level of service standards for all locally owned arterials.

 Counties and cities may adopt level of service standards for all travel modes. Counties and cities may adopt level of service standards for locally owned roads that are not classified as arterials.
- (ii) RCW 36.70A.070 (6)(a)(iii)(C) requires level of service standards for state-owned highways, as reflected in chapters 47.06 and 47.80 RCW, to gauge the performance of the transportation system. The department of transportation, in consultation with counties and cities, establishes level of service standards for state highways and ferry routes of statewide significance. Counties and cities should refer to the state highway and ferry plans developed in accordance with chapter 47.06 RCW for the adopted level of service standards.
- (iii) Regional transportation planning organizations and the department of transportation jointly develop level of service standards for all other state highways and ferry routes. Counties and cities should refer to the regional transportation plans developed in accordance with chapter 47.80 RCW for the adopted level of service standards.
- (iv) RCW 36.70A.070 (6) (a) (iii) (B) requires the transportation element to include level of service standards for all transit routes. To identify level of service standards for public transit services, counties and cities should include the established level of service or performance standards from the transit provider and should reference any relevant planning documents.

- (v) Adopted level of service standards should reflect access, mobility, mode-split, or capacity goals for the transportation facility depending upon the surrounding development density and community goals, and should be developed in consultation with transit agencies serving the planning area. Level of service standards should also advance the state's vehicle miles per capita reduction goals as identified in RCW 47.01.440.
- (vi) The measurement methodology and standards should vary based on the urban or rural character of the surrounding area. The county or city should also balance the desired community character, funding capacity, and traveler expectations when selecting level of service methodologies and standards for all transportation modes. A county or city may select different ways to measure travel performance depending on how a county or city balances these factors and the characteristics of travel in their community. For example, counties and cities may measure performance at different times of day, week, or month (peak versus off-peak, weekday versus weekend, summer versus winter). Counties and cities may also measure performance at different geographic scales (intersections, road or route segments, travel corridors, or travel zones), or in terms of the supply of multimodal capacity available in a corridor.
- (vii) In urban areas RCW 36.70A.108 encourages the use of methodologies analyzing the transportation system from a comprehensive, multimodal perspective. Multimodal levels of service methodologies and standards should consider the needs of travelers using the four major travel modes (pedestrian, bicycle, public transportation, motor vehicle),

their impacts on each other as they share the street, and their mode specific requirements for street design and operation. For example, bicycle and pedestrian level of service standards should emphasize the availability of facilities and user stress based on facility attributes, traffic speed, traffic volume, number of lanes, frequency of parking turnover, ease of intersection crossings and others. Utilizing additional level of services standards can help make these modes accessible to a broad share of the population.

(f) Travel forecasts. RCW 36.70A.070 (6)(a)(iii)(E) requires forecasts of traffic for at least 10 years based on the adopted land use plan to provide information on the location, timing, and capacity needs of future growth. Counties and cities must include at least a 10-year travel forecast in the transportation element. The forecast time period and underlying assumptions must be consistent with the land use element. Counties and cities may forecast travel for the 20-year planning period. Counties and cities may include bicycle, pedestrian, and/or planned transit service in a multimodal forecast. Travel forecasts should be based on adopted regional growth strategies, the regional transportation plan, and comprehensive plans within the region to ensure consistency. Counties and cities should use the most current traffic forecasting methodologies that better account for the different traffic generating characteristics of different land use patterns. Traffic forecasts are one piece of information and should be balanced with other data and goals in the formation of the transportation element.

- (g) Identify transportation system needs.
- (i) RCW 36.70A.070 (6) (a) (iii) (D) requires that the transportation element include specific actions and requirements for bringing into compliance locally owned transportation facilities or services that are below established level of service standards. Such actions and requirements identified should include improvements to active transportation and transit access, improvements in proximity of destinations, and trip avoidance through telework and other use of telecommunications.
- (ii) System needs are those improvements needed to meet and maintain adopted levels of service over at least the required 10-year forecasting period. If counties and cities use a 20-year forecasting period, they should also identify needs for the entire 20-year period.
- (iii) RCW 47.80.030(3) requires identified needs on regional facilities or services to be consistent with the regional transportation plan and the adopted regional growth and transportation strategies. RCW 36.70A.070 (6)(a)(iii)(F) requires identified needs on state-owned transportation facilities to be consistent with the statewide multimodal transportation plan.
- (iv) Counties and cities should cooperate with public transit providers to analyze projected transit services and needs based on projected land use assumptions, and consistent with regional land use and transportation planning. Coordination may also include identification of mixed use centers, and consider opportunities for intermodal integration

and appropriate multimodal access, particularly bicycle and pedestrian access.

- (v) Counties and cities must include state transportation investments identified in the statewide multimodal transportation plan required under chapter 47.06 RCW and funded in the Washington state department of transportation's 10-year improvement program. Identified needs must be consistent with regional transportation improvements identified in regional transportation plans required under chapter 47.80 RCW. The transportation element should also include plans for new or expanded public transit and be coordinated with local transit providers.
- (vi) The identified transportation system needs may include:
 Considerations for repair, replacement, enhancement, or expansion of
 pedestrian, bicycle, transit, vehicular facilities; ADA transitions;
 enhanced or expanded transit services; system management; or demand
 management approaches.
- (vii) Transportation system needs may include transportation system management measures increasing the motor vehicle capacity of the existing street and road system. They may include, but are not limited to signal timing, traffic channelization, intersection reconfiguration, exclusive turn lanes or turn prohibitions, bus turn-out bays, grade separations, removal of on-street parking or improving street network connectivity.
- (viii) When identifying system needs, counties and cities may identify a timeline for improvements. Identification of a timeline provides clarity as to when and where specific transportation investments

are planned and provides the opportunity to coordinate and cooperate in transportation planning and permitting decisions.

- (ix) Counties and cities should consider how the improvements relate to adjacent counties or cities.
- (x) State policy goals as outlined in RCW 47.04.280. Growth in travel demand should first be met through improvements to active transportation and transit access, improvements in proximity of destinations, and trip avoidance through telework and other use of telecommunications. This approach is consistent with statewide goals to reduce per capita vehicle miles traveled and greenhouse gas emissions.
- (xi) The transportation element may include, in addition to improvements or strategies to accommodate the impacts of development authorized under RCW 36.70A.070 (6)(b), multimodal transportation improvements or strategies that are made concurrent with the development. These transportation improvements or strategies may include, but are not limited to, measures implementing or evaluating:
- (A) Multiple modes of transportation with peak and nonpeak hour capacity performance standards for locally owned transportation facilities; and
- (B) Modal performance standards meeting the peak and nonpeak hour capacity performance standards.

(xii) When identifying system needs, counties and cities should

consider improvements to address and begin to undo racially disparate

impacts, displacement or exclusion in housing caused by disinvestment or

Commented [JD(11]: HB 1220

lack of infrastructure availability as detailed in RCW 36.70A.070(2)(e) and (f).

- (h) Local impacts to state transportation facilities. RCW 36.70A.070 (6) (a) (ii) requires counties and cities to estimate traffic impacts to state-owned transportation facilities resulting from land use assumptions to assist the Washington state department of transportation in monitoring the performance of state facilities, to plan improvements for the facilities, and to assess the impact of land-use decisions on state-owned transportation facilities. Traffic impacts should include the number of motor vehicle, bicycle, public transit, and pedestrian trips estimated to use the state highway and ferry systems throughout the planning period.

 Counties and cities Cities and counties should work with the Washington state department of transportation to understand the limits of state facilities throughout the planning period and should avoid increasing vehicle demand beyond planned capacity of state facilities.
 - (i) Transportation demand management.
- (i) RCW 36.70A.070 (6)(a)(vi) requires that the transportation element include transportation demand management strategies. These strategies are designed to encourage the use of alternatives to single occupancy travel and to reduce congestion, especially during peak times.
- (ii) Where applicable, counties and cities may include the goals and relevant strategies of employer-based commute trip reduction programs developed under RCW 70.94.521 through 70.94.555. All other counties and cities should consider strategies which may include, but are not limited

to ridesharing, vanpooling, promotion of bicycling, walking and use of public transportation, transportation-efficient parking and land use policies, and high occupancy vehicle subsidy programs.

- (j) Pedestrian and bicycle component. RCW 36.70A.070 (6)(a)(vii) requires the transportation element to include a pedestrian and bicycle component that includes collaborative efforts to identify and designate planned improvements for pedestrian and bicycle facilities and corridors that address and encourage enhanced community access and promote healthy lifestyles.
- (i) Collaborative efforts may include referencing local, regional, state pedestrian and bicycle planning documents, and ADA transition plans if any. Designated shared use paths, which are part of bicycle and pedestrian networks, should be consistent with those in the parks, recreation and open space element.
- (ii) To identify and designate planned improvements for bicycle facilities and corridors, the pedestrian and bicycle component should include a map of bicycle facilities, such as bicycle lanes, shared use paths, paved road shoulders. This map should identify state and local designated bicycle routes, and describe how the facilities link to those in adjacent jurisdictions. This map should also identify the level of traffic stress for each of the facilities. Jurisdictions are encouraged to consider demographic groups that may have special transportation needs, such as older adults, youth, people with low incomes, people with

disabilities, and people with limited English proficiency when identifying and designating planned improvements.

- (iii) To identify and designate planned improvements for pedestrian facilities and corridors, the pedestrian and bicycle component should include a map of pedestrian facilities such as sidewalks, pedestrian connectors, and other designated facilities, especially in areas of high pedestrian use such as designated centers, major transit routes, and route plans designated by school districts under WAC 392-151-025.
- (iv) The pedestrian and bicycle component should plan a network that connects residential and employment areas with community and regional destinations, schools, and public transportation services. The plan should consider route directness, network completeness, and level of traffic stress.
- (v) The pedestrian and bicycle component should also plan pedestrian facilities that improve pedestrian and bicycle safety following a safe systems approach and consider existing pedestrian and bicycle collision data, vehicle speeds and volumes, and level of separation of modes.
 - (k) Multiyear financing plan.
- (i) RCW 36.70A.070 (6)(a)(iii)(B) requires that the transportation element include a multiyear financing plan based on the needs identified in the comprehensive plan, the appropriate parts of which develop a financing plan that addresses all identified multimodal transportation facilities and services and strategies throughout the 20-year planning period. The identified needs shall serve as the basis for the six-year

street, road, or transit program required by RCW 35.77.010 for cities, RCW 36.81.121 for counties, and RCW 35.58.2795 for public transportation systems. The multiyear financing plan should reflect regional improvements identified in regional transportation plans required under chapter 47.80 RCW and be coordinated with the 10-year investment program developed by the Washington state department of transportation as required by RCW 47.05.030;

- (ii) The horizon year for the multiyear plan should be the same as the time period for the travel forecast and identified needs. The financing plan should include cost estimates for new and enhanced locally owned roadway facilities including new or enhanced bicycle and pedestrian facilities to estimate the cost of future facilities and the ability of the local government to fund the improvements.
 - (iii) Sources of proposed funding may include:
 - (A) Federal or state funding.
 - (B) Local funding from taxes, bonds, or other sources.
 - (C) Developer contributions, which may include:
- (I) Impact or mitigation fees assessed according to chapter 82.02 RCW, or the Local Transportation Act (chapter 39.92 RCW).
- (II) Contributions or improvements required under SEPA (RCW 43.21c.060).
- (III) Concurrency requirements implemented according to RCW 36.70 ± 0.070 (6)(b).

- (D) Transportation benefit districts established under RCW 35.21.225 and chapter 36.73 RCW.
- (iv) RCW 36.70A.070 (6) (a) (iv) (A) requires an analysis of funding capability to judge needs against probable funding resources. When considering the cost of new facilities, counties and cities should consider the life-cycle cost of maintaining facilities in addition to the cost of their initial construction. Counties and cities should forecast projected funding capacities based on revenues that are reasonably expected to be available, under existing laws and ordinances, to carry out the plan. If the funding strategy relies on new or previously untapped sources of revenue, the financing plan should include a realistic estimate of new funding that will be supplied.
 - (1) Reassessment if probable funding falls short.
- (i) RCW 36.70A.070 (6)(a)(iv)(C) requires reassessment if probable funding falls short of meeting identified needs. Counties and cities must discuss how additional funding will be raised or how land use assumptions will be reassessed to ensure that level of service standards will be met.
- (ii) This review must take place, at a minimum, as part of the periodic review and update required in RCW 36.70A.130 (1) and (3), and as major changes are made to the transportation element.
- (iii) If probable funding falls short of meeting identified needs, counties and cities have several choices. For example, they may choose to:
- (A) Seek additional sources of funding for identified transportation improvements;

- (B) Adjust level of service standards to reduce the number and cost of needed facilities;
- (C) Revisit identified needs and use of transportation system management or transportation demand management strategies to reduce the need for new facilities; or
- (D) Revise the land use element to shift future travel to areas with adequate capacity, to lower average trip length by encouraging mixed-use developments to increase the share of people who can walk, bicycle, or take transit to meet daily needs, or to avoid the need for new facilities in undeveloped areas;
- (E) If needed, adjustments should be made throughout the comprehensive plan to maintain consistency.
- (m) Implementation measures. Counties and cities may include an implementation section that broadly defines regulatory and nonregulatory actions and programs designed to proactively implement the transportation element. Implementation measures may include:
- (i) Public works guidelines to reflect multimodal transportation standards for pedestrians, bicycles and transit; or adoption of Washington state department of transportation standards or the National Association of City Transportation Officials standards for bicycle and pedestrian facilities;
- (ii) Transportation concurrency ordinances affecting development review;

- (iii) Parking standards, especially in urban centers, to reduce or eliminate vehicle parking minimum requirements, provide vehicle parking maximums and include bicycle parking;
- (iv) Commute trip reduction ordinances and transportation demand management programs;
 - (v) Access management ordinances;
 - (vi) Active transportation funding programs;
- (vii) Maintenance procedures and pavement management systems to include bicycle, pedestrians and transit considerations;
- (viii) Subdivision standards to reflect multimodal goals, including providing complete and connected networks, particularly for bicycle and pedestrian travel; and
- (ix) Transit compatibility policies and rules to guide development review procedures to incorporate review of bicycle, pedestrian and transit access to sites.

[Statutory Authority: RCW 36.70A.050 and 36.70A.190. WSR 23-08-037, § 365-196-430, filed 3/29/23, effective 4/29/23; WSR 15-04-039, § 365-196-430, filed 1/27/15, effective 2/27/15; WSR 10-03-085, § 365-196-430, filed 1/19/10, effective 2/19/10.]

WAC 365-196-435 Economic development element - No changes proposed
WAC 365-196-440 Parks and recreation element - No changes proposed
WAC 365-196-445 Optional elements - No changes proposed
WAC 365-196-450 Historic preservation - No changes proposed

WAC 365-196-455 Land use compatibility adjacent to general aviation airports - No changes proposed

WAC 365-196-460 Master planned resorts.

- (1) The act allows for master planned resorts to provide counties with a means of capitalizing on areas of significant natural amenities to provide sustainable economic development for its rural areas. The requirements allow for master planned resorts without degrading the rural character of the county or imposing a public service burden on the county.
- (2) A master planned resort is a self-contained, fully integrated planned unit development, in a setting of significant natural amenities, with primary focus on destination resort facilities, consisting of short-term visitor accommodations associated with a range of developed on-site indoor or outdoor recreational facilities. Residential uses are permitted only if they are integrated into and support the on-site recreational nature of the resort.
- (3) Master planned resorts may include public facilities and services beyond those normally provided in rural areas. However, those provided onsite must be limited to those that meet the needs of the master planned resort. Services may be developed on-site or may be provided by other service providers, including special purpose districts or municipalities. All costs associated with service extensions and capacity increases directly attributable to the master planned resort must be borne by the resort, rather than the county. A master planned resort may enter into

development agreements with service providers to share facilities, provided the services serve either an existing urban growth area or the master planned resort. Such agreements may not allow or facilitate extension of urban services outside of the urban growth area or the master planned resort. When approving the master planned resort, the county must conclude that on-site and off-site infrastructure and service impacts are fully considered and mitigated.

- (4) A county must include policies in its rural element to guide the development of master planned resorts before it can approve a master planned resort. These policies must preclude new urban or suburban land uses in the vicinity of the master planned resort unless those uses are otherwise within a designated urban growth area.
- (5) When approving a master planned resort, a county must conclude, supported by the record before it, that the master planned resort is consistent with the development regulations protecting critical areas.
- (6) If the area designated as a master planned resort includes resource lands of long-term commercial significance, a county must conclude, supported by the record before it, that the land is better suited, and has more long-term importance for the master planned resort than for the commercial harvesting of timber, minerals, or agricultural production. Because this conclusion effects a dedesignation of resource lands, it must be based on the criteria and the process contained in chapter 365-190 WAC. Even if lands are dedesignated, the master planned resort may not operationally interfere with the continued use of any

adjacent resource lands of long-term commercial significance for natural resource production.

(7) The initial effective date of an action that creates or expands a master planned resort is the latest of the following dates per RCW 36.70A.067:

(i) Sixty (60) days after the publication of notice of adoption of the comprehensive plan, development regulation, or amendment to the plan or regulation, implementing the action, as provided under RCW 36.70A.290(2); or

(ii) If a petition for review to the growth management hearings board is timely filed, upon issuance of the board's final order.

[Statutory Authority: RCW 36.70A.050 and 36.70A.190. WSR 10-03-085, § 365-196-460, filed 1/19/10, effective 2/19/10.]

WAC 365-196-465 Major industrial developments - No changes proposed

WAC 365-196-470 Industrial land banks - No changes proposed

WAC 365-196-475 Land use compatibility with military installations - No changes proposed

WAC 365-196-480 Natural resource lands.

- (1) Requirements.
- (a) In the initial period following adoption of the act, and prior to the development of comprehensive plans, counties and cities

Commented [JD(12]: SB 5042 (vesting requirements)

planning under the act were required to designate natural resource lands of long-term commercial significance and adopt development regulations to assure their conservation. Natural resource lands include agricultural, forest, and mineral resource lands. The previous designations and development regulations shall be reviewed in connection with the comprehensive plan adoption process and, where necessary, altered to ensure consistency.

- (b) Counties and cities planning under the act must review their natural resource lands designations, comprehensive plans, policies, and development regulations as part of the required periodic update under RCW 36.70A.130(1) and 36.70A.131.
- (c) Counties and cities not planning under RCW 36.70A.040 must review their natural resource lands designations, and if necessary revise those designations as part of the required periodic update under RCW 36.70A.130(1) and 36.70A.131.
- (d) Forest land and agricultural land located within urban growth areas shall not be designated as forest resource land or agricultural resource land unless the county or city has enacted a program authorizing transfer or purchase of development rights.
- (e) Mineral lands may be designated as mineral resource lands within urban growth areas. There may be subsequent reuse of mineral resource lands when the minerals have been mined out. In cases where designated mineral resource lands are likely to be mined out and closed to further mining within the planning period, the surface mine

reclamation plan and permit from the department of natural resources division of geology should be reviewed to ensure it is consistent with the adopted comprehensive land use plan.

- (f) In adopting development regulations to conserve natural resource lands, counties and cities shall address the need to buffer land uses adjacent to the natural resource lands. Where buffering is used it should be on land within the adjacent development unless an alternative is mutually agreed on by adjacent landowners.
- designation of agricultural, forest, or mineral resource land is the latest of the following dates per RCW 36.70A.067:
 - (i) Sixty (60) days after the publication of notice of adoption of the comprehensive plan, development regulation, or amendment to the plan or regulation, implementing the action, as provided under RCW 36.70A.290(2); or
 - (ii) If a petition for review to the growth management hearings board is timely filed, upon issuance of the board's final order.
- (2) Recommendations for meeting requirements.
- (a) In the initial period following adoption of the act, much of the analysis which was the basis for the comprehensive plan came later than the initial identification and regulation of natural resource lands. In all cases, counties and cities must address

Commented [JD(13]: SB 5042 (vesting requirements)

inconsistencies between plan policies, development regulations and previously adopted natural resource land provisions.

- (b) The department issued guidelines for the classification and designation of natural resource lands which are contained in chapter 365-190 WAC. In general, natural resource lands should be located beyond the boundaries of urban growth areas; and urban growth areas should avoid including designated natural resource lands. In most cases, the designated purposes of natural resource lands are incompatible with urban densities. For inclusion in the urban growth area, counties and cities must first review the natural resource lands designation and conclude the lands no longer meet the designation criteria for resource lands of long-term commercial significance.
- (c) As noted in subsection (1)(f) of this section, mineral resource lands are a possible exception to the requirement that natural resource lands be designated outside the urban growth area. This guidance is based on the significant cost savings from using minerals close to their source, and the potential for reusing the mined out lands for other purposes after mining is complete. Counties and cities should consider the potential loss of access to mineral resource lands if they are not designated and conserved, and should also consider the consumptive use of mineral resources when designating specific mineral resource lands.

- (d) Counties and cities may also consider retaining local agricultural lands in or near urban growth areas as part of a local strategy promoting food security, agricultural education, or in support of local food banks, schools, or other large institutions.
- (e) The review of existing designations should be done on a countywide basis, and in most cases, be limited to the question of consistency with the comprehensive plan, rather than revisiting the entire prior designation and regulation process. However, to the extent that new information is available or errors have been discovered, the review process should take this information into account. Review for consistency in this context should include whether the planned use of lands adjacent to agricultural, forest, or mineral resource lands will interfere with the continued use, in an accustomed manner and in accordance with the best management practices, of the designated lands for the production of food, agricultural products, or timber, or for the extraction of minerals. Counties and cities must defer reviews of resource lands until they are able to conduct a comprehensive countywide analysis consistent with WAC 365-190-040(10).
- (f) Development regulations must assure that the planned use of lands adjacent to natural resource lands will not interfere with the continued use, in the accustomed manner and in accordance with best management practices, of these designated lands. Guidance on

development regulations ensuring the conservation of designated resource lands is found in WAC 365-196-815.

- (g) Counties and cities are encouraged to use a coordinated program that includes nonregulatory programs and incentives to supplement development regulations to conserve natural resource lands. Guidance for addressing the designation of natural resource lands is located under WAC 365-190-040 through 365-190-070.
- (h) When adopting comprehensive plan policies on siting energy facilities on or adjacent to natural resource lands, counties and cities must ensure that development does not result in conversion to a use that removes the land from resource production, or interferes with the usual and accustomed operations of the natural resource lands. Counties and cities are encouraged to adopt policies and regulations regarding the appropriate location for siting energy facilities on or adjacent to natural resource lands. Policies and regulations may emphasize dual-use strategies that preserve or improve natural resource lands, provide clarity to developers, and support renewable energy goals.

[Statutory Authority: RCW 36.70A.050 and 36.70A.190. WSR 23-08-037, § 365-196-480, filed 3/29/23, effective 4/29/23; WSR 10-03-085, § 365-196-480, filed 1/19/10, effective 2/19/10.]

WAC 365-196-485 Critical areas - No changes proposed